# Problems

Ted Eisenberg, Section Editor

This section of the Journal offers readers an opportunity to exchange interesting mathematical problems and solutions. Please send them to Ted Eisenberg, Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University, Beer-Sheva, Israel or fax to: 972-86-477-648. Questions concerning proposals and/or solutions can be sent e-mail to <eisenbt@013.net>. Solutions to previously stated problems can be seen at <a href="http://www.ssma.org/publications">http://www.ssma.org/publications</a>>.

Solutions to the problems stated in this issue should be posted before October 15, 2012

• **5212:** Proposed by Kenneth Korbin, New York, NY Solve the equation

$$2x + y - \sqrt{3x^2 + 3xy + y^2} = 2 + \sqrt{2}$$

if x and y are of the form  $a + b\sqrt{2}$  where a and b are positive integers.

• 5213: Proposed by Tom Moore, Bridgewater, MA

The triangular numbers  $T_n$  begin 1, 3, 6, 10, ... and, in general,  $T_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}, n = 1, 2, 3, ...$ 

For every positive integer n > 1, prove that  $n^4$  is a sum of four triangular numbers.

• 5214: Proposed by Pedro H. O. Pantoja, Natal-RN, Brazil

Let a, b, c be positive real numbers. Prove that

$$\frac{a^3(b+c)^2+1}{1+a+2b} + \frac{b^3(c+a)^2+1}{1+b+2c} + \frac{c^3(a+b)^2+1}{1+c+2a} \ge \frac{4abc(ab+bc+ca)+3}{a+b+c+1}$$

• **5215**: Proposed by Neculai Stanciu, Buzău, Romania Evaluate the integral

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{2x^{1004} + x^{3014} + x^{2008} \sin x^{2007}}{1 + x^{2010}} dx.$$

5216: Proposed by José Luis Díaz-Barrero, Barcelona, Spain
 Let f: ℜ → ℜ<sup>+</sup> be a function such that for all a, b ∈ ℜ

$$f(ab) = f(a)^b f(b)^{a^2}$$

and f(3) = 64. Find all real solutions to the equation

$$f(x) + f(x+1) - 3x - 2 = 0$$

• **5217**: Proposed by Ovidiu Furdui, Cluj-Napoca, Romania Find the value of:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sqrt[n]{(x^n + y^n)^k} dx dy,$$

where k is a positive real number.

#### Solutions

• **5194:** Proposed by Kenneth Korbin, New York, NY Find two pairs of positive integers (a, b) such that,

$$\frac{14}{a} + \frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{14} = 41.$$

# Solution 1 by Elsie M. Campbell, Dionne T. Bailey, and Charles Diminnie, San Angelo, TX

Multiplying 14/a + a/b + b/14 = 41 by the LCM of the denominators, it follows that  $14a^2 + b(b - 574)a + 196b = 0$ .

To get positive integer solutions, b - 574 < 0. Using MATLAB, we obtain the solutions (252, 567) and (980, 25). It is easily checked that these are solutions.

#### Solution 2 by Albert Stadler, Herrliberg, Switzerland

If one intends to make the search amenable to a manual search then the search space needs to be narrowed down by exploiting divisibility properties of the numbers a and b. Equation (1)  $\left(\frac{14}{a} + \frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{14} = 41\right)$  is equivalent to

$$14a^2 + 196b = ab(574 - b).$$
(2)

By (2),  $14|ab^2$ , which implies firstly that (2|a or 2|b) and secondly that (7|a or 7|b).

If 2|b, then, again by (2),  $4|14a^2$ , which implies that 2|a. So 2|a.

If 7|b, then, again by (2),  $49|14a^2$ , which implies that 7|a. So 7|a.

So a is a multiple of 14 and we write a = 14c. (2) then reads as

$$196c^2 + 14b = bc(574 - b). \tag{3}$$

Let p be a prime different from 2 and 7. Let  $p^{\beta}||b, p^{\gamma}||c. (p^{f}||n \text{ means that } p^{f}|n \text{ and } p^{f+1} \not |n \text{ or in words: } f \text{ is the exact exponent of } p \text{ in the prime factorization of } n.)$  $We claim that <math>\beta = 2\gamma$ .

If  $p^{\beta}||b$ , then by (3),  $p^{\beta}|c^2$ . So,  $\gamma \geq \lceil \beta/2 \rceil$ .

If  $p^{\gamma}||c$ , then by (3),  $p^{\gamma}|b$ . Then, again by (3),  $p^{2\gamma}|b$ . So  $\beta \ge 2\gamma$ . So  $\gamma \ge \lceil \beta/2 \rceil \ge \gamma$  which indeed implies that  $\beta = 2\gamma$ .

So b and c are of the form  $b = 2^r 7^s k^2$ ,  $c = 2^u 7^v k$  (4), where r, s, u, v are nonnegative integers  $0 \le r \le 9, 0 \le u \le 8, 0 \le s, v \le 3$ ,  $k \in \{1, 3, 5, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 23\}$ , because b < 573, c < 421.

We plug (4) into (3) and get

$$14\left(2^{1+2u}7^{1+2v}+2^{r}7^{s}\right) = 2^{r+u}7^{s+v}k\left(574-2^{r}7^{s}k^{2}\right).$$
 (5).

A manual check reveals that (5) can hold only for k = 5 and k = 9. They give rise to the two pairs (b, c) = (25, 70) and (b, c) = (567, 18) which in turn yield the two solutions  $(a, b) \in \{(980, 25), (252, 567)\}.$ 

Yet another approach to solve (1) consists in solving (3) for b. We find

$$b = \frac{7\left(-1 + 41c \pm \sqrt{\left(1 - 41c\right)^2 - 4c^3}\right)}{c}$$

Obviously  $4c^3 \leq (41c-1)^2 < (41c)^2$ . So c < 420 (as above). The term under the root sign equals the square of an integer. We are left with a finite set of values of c for which we need to check this condition. We find that the only values of c are c = 18 and c = 70. They give rise to the solutions already mentioned.

*Comment by editor:* When Ken submitted this problem he accompanied it with the following explanation.

Let K be a factor of 14, and let  $a = K^2 y$  and let  $b = y^2$ . Then

$$\frac{K}{a}+\frac{a}{b}+\frac{b}{K}=\frac{1+K^3+y^3}{Ky}$$

which is equal to an integer if K is a factor of  $y^3 + 1$  and if y is a factor of  $K^3 + 1$ .

Also solved by Brian D. Beasley, Clinton, SC; Pat Costello, Richmond, KY; Paul M. Harms, North Newton, KS; Kee-Wai Lau, Hong Kong, China; David E. Manes, Oneonta, NY; Titu Zvonaru, Comănesti, Romania jointly with Neculai Stanciu, Buzău, Romania; David Stone and John Hawkins (jointly), Statesboro, GA, and the proposer.

• 5195: Proposed by Kenneth Korbin, New York, NY

If N is a prime number or a power of primes congruent to 1 (mod 6), then there are positive integers a and b such that  $3a^2 + 3ab + b^2 = N$  with (a, b) = 1.

Find *a* and *b* if N = 2011, and if  $N = 2011^2$ , and if  $N = 2011^3$ .

## Solution 1 by Kee-Wai Lau, Hong Kong, China

From  $2a^2 + 3ab + b^2 = N$ , we obtain  $b = \frac{\sqrt{4N - 3a^2 - 3a}}{2}$ , so that  $a < \sqrt{\frac{N}{3}}$ . A computer search yields the following results.

For N = 2011, we have (a, b) = (10, 29)

For  $N = 2011^2$ , we have (a, b) = (880, 541)For  $N = 2011^3$ , we have (a, b) = (46619, 10711)

## Solution 2 by Albert Stadler, Herrliberg, Switzerland

This problem is best put in the context of Eisenstein integers. Let  $\omega = \frac{-1 + i\sqrt{3}}{2}$ . The set of Eisenstein integers  $Z[\omega] = \{a + b\omega | a, b \in Z\}$  has the following properties:

- (i)  $Z[\omega]$  forms a commutative ring of algebraic integers in the real number field  $Q(\omega)$
- (ii)  $Z[\omega]$  is an Euclidean domain whose norm N is given by  $N(a + b\omega) = a^2 ab + b^2$ . As a result of this  $Z[\omega]$  is a factorial ring.
- (iii) The group of units in  $Z[\omega]$  is the cyclic group formed by the sixth root of unity in the complex plane. Specifically, they are  $\{\pm 1, \pm \omega, \pm \omega^2\}$ . These are just the Eisenstein integers of norm one.
- (iv) An ordinary prime number (or rational prime) which is 3 or congruent to 1 (mod 3) is of the form x<sup>2</sup> xy + y<sup>2</sup> for some integers x, y and may therefore be factored into (x + yω)(x + yω<sup>2</sup>) and because of that it is not prime in the Eisenstein integers. Ordinary primes congruent to 2 (mod 3) cannot be factored in this way and they are primes in the Eisenstein integers as well.

So based on this, if p is a prime number congruent to 1 (mod 6) then p factors as  $p = (c + d\omega)(c + d\omega^2)$  where  $c + d\omega$  and  $c + d\omega^2$  are two Eisenstein primes that are complex conjugates to each other. Of course (c, d) = 1, since  $c + d\omega$  and  $c + d\omega^2$  are both Eisenstein primes. By assumption  $N = p^k$  for some natural number k. Then  $N = p^k = (c + d\omega)^k (c + d\omega^2)^k$ . Let  $(c + d\omega)^k = e + f\omega$ . We claim that e and f are coprime.

Assume that there is a prime q that divides both e and f. Then  $q|(c+d\omega)^k|(c+d\omega)^k(c+d\omega^2)^k = p^k$ . So q = p and therefore  $q = (c+d\omega)(c+d\omega^2)$ . Then  $(c+d\omega^2)|(c+d\omega)^{k-1}$  which implies firstly that k > 1, (since an Eisenstein prime cannot divide 1) and secondly that  $(c+d\omega^2)|(c+d\omega)$ , (since  $(c+d\omega^2)$  is an Eisenstein prime). Because  $|c+d\omega^2| = |c+d\omega|$  we conclude that there is a unit u such that  $(c+d\omega^2) = u(c+d\omega)$ . So  $c, d \in \{0, \pm 1\}$  which cannot be, since  $N(c+d\omega) = p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ .

So there is a factorization  $N = p^k = (e + f\omega)(e + f\omega^2)$ , where e and f are coprime integers. We claim that we can assume in addition that either (i) 0 < e < f < 2e or (ii) 0 < e < -f.

Indeed, since

$$(+1)(+1) = (-1)(-1) = (+\omega)(+\omega^2) = (+\omega^2)(+\omega) = (-\omega)(-\omega^2) = (-\omega^2)(-\omega)$$

we conclude that

$$N(e+f\omega) = N(-e-f\omega) = N(f+e\omega) = N(-f-e\omega)$$
$$= N(f+(f-e)\omega) = N(-f+(e-f)\omega) = N(f-e+f\omega) = N(e-f-f\omega).$$

So if we consider the eight Eisenstein integers

$$e+f\omega, \ -e-f\omega, \ f+e\omega, \ -f-e\omega, \ f+(f-e)\omega, \ -f+(e-f)\omega, \ f-e+f\omega, \ e-f-f\omega$$

there is one among these of the form  $g + h\omega$  such that either 0 < g < h < 2g or 0 < g < -h, for if g and h have the same sign we can first assume that g > 0 and h > 0 (by replacing, if necessary g by -g and h by -h). Next we can assume that h > g (by replacing, if necessary g by h and h by g). Next we can assume that h < 2g (by replacing, if necessary, g by h - g). If g and h have different signs then we can first assume that g > 0, h < 0 (by replacing , if necessary, g by -g and h have different signs then we can first assume that g > 0, h < 0 (by replacing , if necessary, g by -g and h have different signs then we can first assume that g < -h (by replacing , if necessary g by -h and h by -g).

In case (i) we define: a := f - e > 0, b := 2e - f > 0. Then

$$N = p^{k} = (e + f\omega)(e + f\omega^{2}) = e^{2} - ef + f^{2} = (a + b)^{2} - (a + b)(2a + b) + (2a + b)^{2}$$
$$= a^{2} + 2ab + b^{2} - (2a^{2} + 3ab + b^{2}) + 4a^{2} + 4ab + b^{2} = 3a^{2} + 3ab + b^{2}.$$

In case (ii) we define: a = e > 0, b := -e - f > 0. Then

$$N = p^{k} = (e + f\omega)(e + f\omega^{2}) = e^{2} - ef + f^{2} = a^{2} + a(a + b) + (a + b)^{2}$$
$$= a^{2} + a^{2} + ab + a^{2} + 2ab + b^{2} = 3a^{2} + 3ab + b^{2}.$$

We find (upon using that  $\omega^3 = 1, \omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$ ),

$$2011 = (10 + 49\omega)(10 + 49\omega^2), \tag{1}$$

$$2011^{2} = (10+49\omega)^{2}(10+49\omega^{2})^{2} = (2301+1421\omega)(2301+1421\omega^{2}), \qquad (2)$$

$$2011^{3} = (10+49\omega)^{3}(10+49\omega^{2})^{3} = (46619-57330\omega)(46619-57330\omega^{2}).$$
(3)

We note that  $39 + 49\omega^2$  is an associate of  $10 + 49\omega$  since  $-(39 + 49\omega^2) = -(39 - 49 - 49\omega) = 10 + 49\omega$ .

So,  $2011 = (39 + 49\omega)(39 + 49\omega^2)$ , and 0 < 39 < 49 < 78. We are in case (i) and find a = 10, b = 29. Indeed, if we define  $f(a, b) = 3a^2 + 3ab + b^2$ , then f(10, 29) = 2011.

We note that  $2301 + 1421\omega^2$  is an associate of  $1421 + 2301\omega$  since  $\omega(2301 + 1421\omega^2) = 1421 + 2301\omega$ . So,  $2011^2 = (1421 + 2301\omega)(1421 + 2301\omega^2)$ , and 0 < 1421 < 2301 < 2842. We are in case (i) and find a = 880, b = 541. Indeed,  $f(880, 541) = 2011^2$ .

We note that  $2011^3 = (46619 - 57330\omega)(46619 - 57330\omega^2)$ , and 0 < 46619 < 57330. We are in case (*ii*) and find a = 46619, b = 10711. Indeed,  $f(46619, 10711) = 2011^3$ .

Also solved by Brian D. Beasley, Clinton, SC; Pat Costello, Richmond, KY; Paul M. Harms, North Newton, KS; David E. Manes, Oneonta, NY; David Stone and John Hawkins (jointly), Statesboro, GA, and the proposer.

• 5196: Proposed by Neculai Stanciu, Buzău, Romania

Determine the last six digits of the product (2010)  $(5^{2014})$ .

## Solution 1 by Robert Howard Anderson, Chesapeake, VA

To determine the last six digits of a product you must know the last six digits of each number you plan to multiply.

To do this the last six digits of  $5^{2014}$  we need to look at the patterns of the solutions to lower powers.

All power of 5 end in 5, and all even powers of five end in 25, then all even powers greater than 2 end in 625. The 4th digit is either 5 or 0; the digit can be determined by using 2008 (mod 4) as 5.

The 5th digit is either 1,9,6, or 4; the digit can be determined by using 2008 (mod 8) as 1.

The 6th digit is either 3,7,1,5,8,2,6, or 0; the digit can be determined by using 2006 (mod 16) as 5.

The last six digits of  $5^{2014}$  are 515625.

The last six digits of (2010)(515625) are 406250; so the last six digits of  $(2010)5^{2014}$  are 406250.

## Solution 2 by Ercole Suppa, Teramo, Italy

Clearly the last digit of  $N = (2010) (5^{2014})$  is 0. Therefore in order to find the last six digits of N it is enough to calculate the last five digits of (201) (5<sup>2014</sup>).

Let us first calculate a few powers of 5, and to do it we need to know just the last five digits of the previous power of 5:

 $\begin{array}{lll} 5^1=5 & 5^2=25 & 5^3=25 & 5^4=625 \\ 5^5=3125 & 5^6=15625 & 5^7=78125 & 5^8=\cdots 90625 \\ 5^9=\cdots 53125 & 5^{10}=\cdots 65625 & 5^{11}=\cdots 28125 & 5^{12}=\cdots 40625 \\ 5^{13}=\cdots 03125 & 5^{14}=\cdots 15625 \end{array}$ 

Observe that the last five digits of  $5^{14}$  are the same as those of  $5^6$ . Therefore, starting with  $5^6$  the last five digits of powers of 5 will repeat periodically:

 $15625, 78125, 90625, 53125, 65625, 28125, 40625, 0325, 15625, \cdots$ 

This means that increasing the exponent of eight does not change the last five digits of powers of 5. Since  $2014 = 6 + 8 \cdot 251$ , it follows that  $5^6$  and  $5^{2014}$  have the same last five digits, so

$$201 \cdot 5^{2014} \equiv 201 \cdot 5^6 \equiv 201 \cdot 15625 \equiv 40625 \pmod{10^5}$$

and this implies that the last six digits of (2010) (5<sup>2014</sup>) are 406250.

#### Solution 3 by Albert Stadler, Herrliberg, Switzerland

By Fermat's Little Theorem,  $5^{\varphi(32)} = 5^{16} \equiv 1 \pmod{32}$ . So,

$$5^{2009} \equiv 5^{2009-16 \cdot 125} \equiv 5^9 \equiv (-3)^3 \equiv 5 \pmod{32},$$

which means that there is an integer k such that

$$5^{2009} - 5 = 32k$$

We multiply this equation by  $2010 \cdot 5^5$  and get

$$2010 \cdot 5^{2014} - 2010 \cdot 5^6 = 201 \cdot 10^6 k$$

 $2010 \cdot 5^6 = 31406250.$ 

So the last six digits are 406250.

#### Solution 4 by Kee-Wai Lau, Hong Kong, China

We show that  $(2010) (5^{2014}) = \cdots 406250.$ 

It is easy to check that

$$(2010)\left(5^{2014}\right) = 406250 + \left(2^4\right)\left(5^6\right)\left(5^{2011} - 1\right) + (2)\left(5^7\right)\left(5^{2008} - 1\right).$$

Hence to prove our result, we need only show that  $5^{2011} - 1$  is a multiple of 4 and  $5^{2008} - 1$  is a multiple of 32.

In fact,

$$5^{2011} - 1 \equiv 1^{2011} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$$
, and  
 $5^{2008} - 1 = 390625^{251} - 1 \equiv 1^{251} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{32}$ ,

and this completes the solution.

Also solved by Daniel Lopez Aguayo, UNAM Morelia, Mexico; Brian D. Beasley, Clinton, SC; Pat Costello, Richmond, KY; Bruno Salgueiro Fanego, Viveiro Spain; Paul M. Harms, North Newton, KS; David E. Manes, Oneonta, NY; Boris Rays, Brooklyn, NY; David Stone and John Hawkins (jointly), Statesboro, GA, and the proposer.

• 5197: Proposed by Pedro H. O. Pantoja, UFRN, Brazil

Let x, y, z be positive real numbers such that  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 4$ . Prove that,

$$\frac{1}{6-x^2} + \frac{1}{6-y^2} + \frac{1}{6-z^2} \le \frac{1}{xyz}.$$

Solution 1 by Paolo Perfetti, Department of Mathematics, "Tor Vergata" University, Rome, Italy

The inequality is evidently

$$\sum_{\text{cyc}} \frac{1}{2 + x^2 + y^2} \le \frac{1}{xyz}.$$

 $a^2 + 1 \ge 2|a|$  yields

$$\sum_{\text{cyc}} \frac{1}{2 + x^2 + y^2} \le \sum_{\text{cyc}} \frac{1}{2x + 2y} \le \frac{1}{xyz}$$

and  $(\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y})^2 \ge 0$  yields

$$\sum_{\text{cyc}} \frac{1}{2x + 2y} \le \sum_{\text{cyc}} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{xy}} \le \frac{1}{xyz} \iff \sum_{\text{cyc}} z\sqrt{xy} \le 4$$

7

But

which is implied by

$$\sum_{\text{cyc}} z \frac{1}{2} (x+y) \le 4 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad xy+yz+zx \le 4$$

But this follows by the well known  $xy + yz + zx \le x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ , thus concluding the proof.

# Soluiton 2 by David E. Manes, Oneonta, NY

Let 
$$L = \frac{1}{6-x^2} + \frac{1}{6-y^2} + \frac{1}{6-z^2}$$
. Since  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 4$ , it follows that  
 $6 - x^2 = 2 + y^2 + z^2$ ,  $6 - y^2 = 2 + x^2 + z^2$ ,  $6 - z^2 = 2 + x^2 + y^2$ .

Therefore,

$$L = \frac{1}{6-x^2} + \frac{1}{6-y^2} + \frac{1}{6-z^2} = \frac{1}{2+y^2+z^2} + \frac{1}{2+x^2+z^2} + \frac{1}{2+x^2+z^2} + \frac{1}{2+x^2+y^2}.$$

Using the Arithmetic Mean-Geometric Mean Inequality twice, one obtains

$$\begin{split} L &= \frac{1}{2 + (y^2 + z^2)} + \frac{1}{2 + (x^2 + z^2)} + \frac{1}{2 + (x^2 + y^2)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2 + (2yz)} + \frac{1}{2 + (2xz)} + \frac{1}{2 + (2xy)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1 + yz} + \frac{1}{1 + xz} + \frac{1}{1 + xy} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{yz}} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{xz}} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{xy}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y} + \sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{xyz}} \right). \end{split}$$

As a result, to show that  $L \leq \frac{1}{xyz}$  it suffices to show that

$$\frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y} + \sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{xyz}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{xyz}, \text{ if and only if}$$
$$\frac{1}{4} \left( \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y} + \sqrt{z} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{xyz}}, \text{ if and only if}$$
$$\frac{1}{4} \left( x\sqrt{yz} + y\sqrt{xz} + z\sqrt{xy} \right) \leq 1.$$

However, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the inequality  $xy + yz + zx \le x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ (which also follows from the C-S inequality; editor's comment) imply that

$$\frac{1}{4}\left(x\sqrt{yz} + y\sqrt{xz} + z\sqrt{xy}\right) \leq \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}\sqrt{yz + xz + xy}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2}\sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2} = 1.$$

Accordingly, if x, y, z > 0, and  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 4$ , then

$$\frac{1}{6-x^2} + \frac{1}{6-y^2} + \frac{1}{6-z^2} \le \frac{1}{xyz}.$$

## Solution 3 by Arkady Alt, San Jose, CA

Let  $a := \frac{x^2}{4} \ b := \frac{y^2}{4}, \ c := \frac{z^2}{4}$  then inequality becomes  $\frac{1}{6-4a} + \frac{1}{6-4b} + \frac{1}{6-4c} \le \frac{1}{8\sqrt{abc}},$ 

where a + b + c = 1.

Let 
$$E = E(a, b, c) := \sqrt{abc} \sum_{cyc} \frac{1}{3 - 2a}, \ p := ab + bc + ca, q := abc$$

Since  $\sum_{cyc} (3-2b) (3-2c) = \sum_{cyc} (9-6(b+c)+4bc) = 15+4p,$ (3-2a) (3-2b) (3-2c) = 9+12p-8q then  $E = \frac{(15+4p)\sqrt{q}}{9+12p-8q}.$ 

Since  $q \leq \frac{p^2}{3}^*$  and E is increasing in q then

$$\frac{E}{\sqrt{3}} \leq \frac{(15+4p)p}{27+36p-8p^2}$$
$$\leq \frac{\left(15+4\cdot\frac{1}{3}\right)\cdot\frac{1}{3}}{27+36\cdot\frac{1}{3}-8\cdot\frac{1}{9}} = \frac{1}{7}$$

because  $\frac{(15+4p) p}{27+36p-8p^2}$  is increasing in positive p and  $p \leq \frac{1}{3} \iff ab+bc+ca \leq \frac{(a+b+c)^2}{3}$ .

Thus,

$$E \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{7} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad 4E \leq \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{7}$$
$$\iff \quad 8\sqrt{abc} \sum_{cyc} \frac{1}{6-4a} \leq \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{7}$$
$$\iff \quad xyz \sum_{cyc} \frac{1}{6-x^2} \leq \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{7}$$

$$\iff \quad \sum_{cyc} \frac{1}{6-x^2} \le \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{7xyz}.$$
$$\frac{1}{6-x^2} + \frac{1}{6-y^2} + \frac{1}{6-z^2} \le \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{7xyz}.$$

**Remark:** Since  $\frac{4\sqrt{3}}{7} < 1$  we have  $\frac{1}{6-x^2} + \frac{1}{6-y^2} + \frac{1}{6-z^2} \le \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{7xyz} < \frac{1}{xyz}$ .

So, the inequality in the formulation of problem could have been stated with the stronger statement

 $\frac{1}{6-x^2} + \frac{1}{6-y^2} + \frac{1}{6-z^2} \le \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{7xyz}, \text{instead of with the weaker one of}$  $\frac{1}{6-x^2} + \frac{1}{6-y^2} + \frac{1}{6-z^2} \le \frac{1}{xyz}.$ 

\* *Editor's comment:* The inequality  $q \leq \frac{p^2}{3}$  is equivalent to  $3abc(a+b+c) \leq (ab+bc+ca)^2$  which is equivalent to  $abc(a+b+c) \leq a^2b^2 + b^2c^2 + c^2a^2$  which is implied by adding up  $a^2bc \leq 0.5a^2(b^2+c^2)$  and its cyclic variants.

Also solved by Kee-Wai Lau<sup>\*</sup>, Hong Kong, China; Ecole Suppa, Teramo, Italy; Albert Stadler<sup>\*</sup>, Herrliberg, Switzerland; Titu Zvonaru, Comănesti, Romania jointly with Neculai Stanciu, Buzău, Romania, and the proposer. (\* Observed, specifically stated and proved the stricter inequality.)

# • 5198: Proposed by José Luis Díaz-Barrero, Barcelona, Spain

Let m, n be positive integers. Calculate,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2n} \prod_{i=0}^{m} \left( \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor + a + i \right)^{-1},$$

where a is a nonnegative number and  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  represents the greatest integer less than or equal to x.

Solution 1 by Arkady Alt, San Jose, CA

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2n} \prod_{i=0}^{m} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right\rfloor + a + i \right)^{-1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{i=0}^{m} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{2k-1+1}{2} \right\rfloor + a + i \right)^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{i=0}^{m} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{2k+1}{2} \right\rfloor + a + i \right)^{-1}$$

$$= 2\sum_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{i=0}^{m} (k+a+i)^{-1}$$

$$= 2\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(k+a)(k+1+a)\dots(k+m+a)}$$

$$= \frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{(k+a)(k+1+a)\dots(k+m-1+a)} - \frac{1}{(k+1+a)(k+2+a)\dots(k+m+a)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{m} \left( \frac{1}{(1+a)(2+a)\dots(m+a)} - \frac{1}{(n+1+a)(n+2+a)\dots(n+m+a)} \right).$$

# Solution 2 by Anastasios Kotronis, Athens, Greece

By a direct calculation, using the identity  $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$ , x > 0 for the  $\Gamma$  function, we can see that

$$\prod_{i=0}^{m} \frac{1}{b+i} = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(b+m+1)} = \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(b+m)} - \frac{\Gamma(b+1)}{\Gamma(b+m+1)} \right) \qquad b > 0.$$
(1)

Now

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=1}^{2n} \prod_{i=0}^{m} \left( \left[ \frac{k+1}{2} \right] + a + i \right)^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1,3,\dots,2n-1} \prod_{i=0}^{m} \left( \frac{k+1}{2} + a + i \right)^{-1} + \sum_{k=2,4,\dots,2n} \prod_{i=0}^{m} \left( \frac{k}{2} + a + i \right)^{-1} \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{i=0}^{m} (k + a + i)^{-1} \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \frac{2}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\Gamma(a+k)}{\Gamma(a+k+m)} - \frac{\Gamma(a+k+1)}{\Gamma(a+k+m+1)} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{m} \left( \frac{\Gamma(a+1)}{\Gamma(a+1+m)} - \frac{\Gamma(a+n+1)}{\Gamma(a+n+m+1)} \right). \end{split}$$

# Also solved by Albert Stadler, Herrliberg, Switzerland and the proposer.

5199: Proposed by Ovidiu Furdui, Cluj, Romania
Let k > 0 and n ≥ 0 be real numbers. Calculate,

$$\int_0^1 x^n \ln\left(\sqrt{1+x^k} - \sqrt{1-x^k}\right) dx.$$

Solution by Anastasios Kotronis, Athens, Greece

$$\begin{split} I &= \frac{x^{n+1}\ln\left(\sqrt{1+x^k} - \sqrt{1-x^k}\right)}{n+1} \Big|_0^1 - \frac{k}{2(n+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{n+k}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x^k}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^k}}\right)}{\sqrt{1+x^k} - \sqrt{1-x^k}} \, dx \\ &= \frac{\ln 2}{2(n+1)} - \frac{k}{2(n+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{n+k}\left(\sqrt{1-x^k} + \sqrt{1+x^k}\right)}{\left(\sqrt{1+x^k} - \sqrt{1-x^2k}\right)} \, dx \\ &= \frac{\ln 2}{2(n+1)} - \frac{k}{4(n+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{x^n \left(\sqrt{1-x^k} + \sqrt{1+x^k}\right)^2}{\sqrt{1-x^{2k}}} \, dx \\ &= \frac{\ln 2}{2(n+1)} - \frac{k}{2(n+1)} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{x^n}{\sqrt{1-x^{2k}}} + x^n\right) \, dx \\ &= \frac{\ln 2}{2(n+1)} - \frac{k}{2(n+1)^2} - \frac{k}{2(n+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{x^n}{\sqrt{1-x^{2k}}} \, dx \\ &= \frac{\ln 2}{2(n+1)} - \frac{k}{2(n+1)^2} - \frac{1}{4(n+1)} B\left(\frac{n+1}{2k}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad (B(u,v) \text{ denotes the Euler beta function)} \\ &= \frac{\ln 2}{2(n+1)} - \frac{k}{2(n+1)^2} - \frac{1}{4(n+1)} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2k}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+k+1}{2k}\right)}. \end{split}$$

Also solved by Kee-Wai Lau, Hong Kong, China; Paolo Perfetti, Department of Mathematics, "Tor Vergata" University, Rome, Italy; Albert Stadler, Herrliberg, Switzerland; David Stone and John Hawkins (jointly), Statesboro, GA, and the proposer.