

# Problems

Ted Eisenberg, Section Editor

\*\*\*\*\*

This section of the Journal offers readers an opportunity to exchange interesting mathematical problems and solutions. Please send them to Ted Eisenberg, Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University, Beer-Sheva, Israel or fax to: 972-86-477-648. Questions concerning proposals and/or solutions can be sent e-mail to <eisenbt@013.net>. Solutions to previously stated problems can be seen at <<http://www.ssma.org/publications>>.

---

*Solutions to the problems stated in this issue should be posted before  
March 15, 2021*

- **5619:** *Proposed by Kenneth Korbin, New York, NY*

If  $x, y$  and  $z$  are positive integers such that

$$x^2 + xy + y^2 = z^2$$

then there are two different Pythagorean triangles with area  $K = xyz(x + y)$ .

Find the sides of the triangles if  $z = 61$ .

- **5620:** *Proposed by Daniel Sitaru, National Economic College "Theodor Costescu" Drobeta Turnu-Severin, Mehedinti, Romania*

Prove: If  $a, b, \in [0, 1]$ ;  $a \leq b$ , then

$$4\sqrt{ab} \leq a \left( \left( \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\sqrt{ab}} + \sqrt{\left( \frac{b}{a} \right)^{a+b}} \right) + b \left( \left( \frac{a}{b} \right)^{\sqrt{ab}} + \sqrt{\left( \frac{a}{b} \right)^{a+b}} \right) \leq 2(a + b).$$

- **5621:** *Proposed by Stanley Rabinowitz, Brooklyn, NY*

Given non-negative integer  $n$ , real numbers  $a$  and  $c$  with  $ac \neq 0$ , and the expression  $a + cx^2 \geq 0$ .

Express:  $\int (a + cx^2)^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} dx$  as the sum of elementary functions.

- **5622:** *Proposed by Albert Natian Los Angeles Valley College, Valley Glen, CA*

Suppose  $f$  is a real-valued function such that for all real numbers  $x$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} & [f(x - 8/15)]^2 + [f(x + 47/30)]^2 + [f(x + 2/75)]^2 = \\ & = f(x - 8/15)f(x + 47/30) + f(x + 47/30)f(x + 2/75) + f(x + 2/75)f(x - 8/15). \end{aligned}$$

If  $f\left(\frac{49}{5}\right) = \frac{11}{3}$ , then find  $f\left(\frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{28}{50}\right) - \frac{2}{25}f(-42)\right)$ .

- **5623:** *Proposed by José Luis Díaz-Barrero, Barcelona Tech, Barcelona, Spain*

Let  $P$  be an interior point to an equilateral triangle of altitude one. If  $x, y, z$  are the distances from  $P$  to the sides of the triangle, then prove that

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \geq x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + 6xyz.$$

- **5624:** *Proposed by Seán M. Stewart, Bomaderry, NSW, Australia*

Evaluate:  $\int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tan^{-1} x - x}{x^2} \right)^2 dx.$

### Solutions

- **5601:** *Proposed by Kenneth Korbin, New York, NY*

Solve:

$$\frac{\sqrt{x(x-1)^2}}{(x+1)^2} = \frac{\sqrt{77}}{36}.$$

#### **Solution 1 by David A. Huckaby, Angelo State University, San Angelo, TX**

Squaring both sides of the equation and cross-multiplying yields  $36^2 x(x-1)^2 = 77(x+1)^4$ . Expanding gives the quartic equation  $77x^4 - 988x^3 + 3054x^2 - 988x + 77 = 0$ .

Note that the coefficients of the quartic polynomial  $p$  on the left side of the equation have a palindrome pattern. So if  $r \neq 0$  is a root of  $p$ , then  $\frac{1}{r}$  is also a root of  $p$ . Indeed, for  $x \neq 0$  we have  $x^4 p\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = p(x)$ . So if  $r \neq 0$  is a root of  $p$ , then  $r^4 p\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) = p(r) = 0$ , so that  $p\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) = 0$ . (Note that all roots of  $p$  are nonzero, since  $p(0) = 77$ .)

Let  $q(x) = \frac{1}{77}p(x) = x^4 - \frac{988}{77}x^3 + \frac{3054}{77}x^2 - \frac{988}{77}x + 1$ , and let  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  be two roots of  $p$  and hence also of  $q$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} q(x) &= (x - r_1)(x - r_2) \left(x - \frac{1}{r_1}\right) \left(x - \frac{1}{r_2}\right) \\ &= (x - r_1) \left(x - \frac{1}{r_1}\right) (x - r_2) \left(x - \frac{1}{r_2}\right) \\ &= (x^2 - \left(r_1 + \frac{1}{r_1}\right)x + 1)(x^2 - \left(r_2 + \frac{1}{r_2}\right)x + 1) \\ &= x^4 - \left(r_1 + \frac{1}{r_1} + r_2 + \frac{1}{r_2}\right)x^3 + \left[\left(r_1 + \frac{1}{r_1}\right)\left(r_2 + \frac{1}{r_2}\right) + 2\right]x^2 \\ &\quad - \left(r_1 + \frac{1}{r_1} + r_2 + \frac{1}{r_2}\right)x + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Equating the  $x^3$  (equivalently, the  $x$ ) coefficients and the  $x^2$  coefficients, we obtain the following system of two equations:

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 + \frac{1}{r_1} + r_2 + \frac{1}{r_2} &= \frac{988}{77} \\ \left(r_1 + \frac{1}{r_1}\right) \left(r_2 + \frac{1}{r_2}\right) + 2 &= \frac{3054}{77} \end{aligned}$$

Solving for  $r_2 + \frac{1}{r_2}$  in the first equation and substituting into the second yields the quadratic equation  $\left(r_1 + \frac{1}{r_1}\right)^2 - \frac{988}{77} \left(r_1 + \frac{1}{r_1}\right) + \frac{3054}{77} - 2 = 0$ . Since the system is symmetric in  $r_1 + \frac{1}{r_1}$  and  $r_2 + \frac{1}{r_2}$ , the quadratic equation is also true with  $r_1 + \frac{1}{r_1}$  replaced by  $r_2 + \frac{1}{r_2}$ . The two solutions of this quadratic equation are  $\frac{58}{7}$  and  $\frac{50}{11}$ , so that  $r_1 + \frac{1}{r_1} = \frac{58}{7}$ , say, and then  $r_2 + \frac{1}{r_2} = \frac{50}{11}$ .

So  $q(x) = (x^2 - \frac{58}{7}x + 1)(x^2 - \frac{50}{11}x + 1)$ . The solutions to the quadratic equation  $x^2 - \frac{58}{7}x + 1 = 0$  are  $r_1 = \frac{29 + 6\sqrt{22}}{7}$  and  $\frac{1}{r_1} = \frac{29 - 6\sqrt{22}}{7}$ , and the solutions to the quadratic equation  $x^2 - \frac{50}{11}x + 1 = 0$  are  $r_2 = \frac{25 + 6\sqrt{14}}{11}$  and  $\frac{1}{r_2} = \frac{25 - 6\sqrt{14}}{11}$ . None of the four is an extraneous solution to the original equation, so these are its four solutions.

**Solution 2 by Bruno Salgueiro Fanego, Viveiro, Spain**

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{x(x-1)^2}}{(x+1)^2} &= \frac{\sqrt{77}}{36} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\sqrt{x(x-1)^2}}{(x+1)^2}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{77}}{36}\right)^2 \Rightarrow \frac{x(x-1)^2}{(x+1)^4} = \frac{77}{1296} \\ \Rightarrow 1296x(x^2-2+1) &= 77(x^4+4x^3+6x^2+4+1) \Rightarrow 0 = 77x^4 - 988x^3 + 3054x^2 - 988x + 77 \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow (7x^2 - 58x + 7)(11x^2 - 50x + 11) &= 0 \Rightarrow 7x^2 - 58x + 7 = 0 \text{ or } 11x^2 - 50x + 11 = 0 \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow x &= \frac{58 \pm \sqrt{(-58)^2 - 4 \cdot 7 \cdot 7}}{2 \cdot 7} \text{ or } x = \frac{50 \pm \sqrt{50^2 - 4 \cdot 11 \cdot 11}}{2 \cdot 11} \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow x &= \frac{29}{7} \pm \frac{6\sqrt{22}}{7} \text{ or } x = \frac{25}{11} \pm \frac{6\sqrt{14}}{11}. \end{aligned}$$

These four numbers are the roots to the given equation.

**Solution 3 by Anthony J. Bevelacqua, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND**

We have

$$36\sqrt{x(x-1)^2} = \sqrt{77}(x+1)^2$$

and so squaring gives

$$1296x(x-1)^2 = 77(x+1)^4$$

which yields

$$1296(x^3 - 2x^2 + x) = 77(x^4 + 4x^3 + 6x^2 + 4x + 1)$$

and so finally

$$77x^4 - 988x^3 + 3054x^2 - 988x + 77 = 0.$$

Now divide this equation by  $x^2$  to find

$$77x^2 - 988x + 3054 - 988\frac{1}{x} + 77\frac{1}{x^2} = 0$$

and so

$$77\left(x^2 + \frac{1}{x^2}\right) - 988\left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right) + 3054 = 0.$$

Since  $x^2 + \frac{1}{x^2} = \left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right)^2 - 2$  we have

$$77\left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right)^2 - 988\left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right) + 2900 = 0.$$

Now, by the quadratic formula, we have

$$x + \frac{1}{x} = \frac{58}{7} \text{ or } \frac{50}{11}$$

which gives

$$x^2 - \frac{58}{7}x + 1 = 0 \text{ with roots } x = \frac{29 \pm 6\sqrt{22}}{7} \approx 8.16, 0.12$$

or

$$x^2 - \frac{50}{11}x + 1 = 0 \text{ with roots } x = \frac{25 \pm 6\sqrt{14}}{11} \approx 4.31, 0.23$$

Since each of these roots are positive, our original equation has the four solutions

$$\frac{29 \pm 6\sqrt{22}}{7}, \quad \frac{25 \pm 6\sqrt{14}}{11}$$

**Solution 4 by Peter Fulop, Gyomro, Hungary**

$$\frac{\sqrt{x(x-1)^2}}{(x+1)^2} = \frac{\sqrt{77}}{36} \tag{1}$$

Starting with realign (1) in the following way:

$$18\sqrt{4x(x^2 - 2x + 1)} = \sqrt{77}((x^2 - 2x + 1) + 4x) \tag{2}$$

Let  $a = 4x$  and  $b = x^2 - 2x + 1$

So we can write that

$$18\sqrt{ab} = \sqrt{77}(a + b) \tag{3}$$

Divided (3) by  $\sqrt{ab}$ , we get a quadratic equation in  $\sqrt{\frac{a}{b}}$

$$\sqrt{77}\frac{a}{b} - 18\sqrt{\frac{a}{b}} + \sqrt{77} = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{a}{b} = \begin{cases} \frac{7}{11} \\ \frac{11}{7} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

On the other hand

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{4x}{x^2 - 2x + 1} \quad (6)$$

Finally from (5) and (6) we have the four roots:

$$x_{1,2} = 1 + \frac{22 \pm 6\sqrt{22}}{7}$$

$$x_{3,4} = 1 + \frac{14 \pm 6\sqrt{14}}{11}$$

**Solution 5 by Albert Natian, Los Angeles Valley College, Valley Glen, California.**

**Answer.** The solution set is  $\left\{ \frac{6+\sqrt{14}}{6-\sqrt{14}}, \frac{6-\sqrt{14}}{6+\sqrt{14}}, \frac{6+\sqrt{22}}{6-\sqrt{22}}, \frac{6-\sqrt{22}}{6+\sqrt{22}} \right\}$ .

We will first find real solutions and then argue that there can be no other solutions, not even non-real solutions.

The above equation can be written as

$$\frac{|x-1|\sqrt{x}}{(x+1)^2} = \frac{\sqrt{77}}{36}.$$

**Case One.**  $x > 1$ .

We have

$$\frac{(x-1)\sqrt{x}}{(x+1)^2} = \frac{\sqrt{77}}{36},$$

$$\left[ \frac{\sqrt{x}}{x+1} \right] / \left[ \frac{x+1}{x-1} \right] = \left[ \sqrt{77} \right] / [36]$$

which suggests there exists a positive real number  $m$  such that

$$\frac{\sqrt{x}}{x+1} = m\sqrt{77} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{x+1}{x-1} = 36m,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
x/(x+1)^2 = 77m^2 \quad \text{and} \quad x = \frac{36m+1}{36m-1} \quad \text{and} \quad x+1 = \frac{72m}{36m-1}, \\
\left(\frac{36m+1}{36m-1}\right) / \left(\frac{72m}{36m-1}\right)^2 = 77m^2, \\
\frac{(36m-1)(36m+1)}{(72m)^2} = 77m^2, \\
36^2m^2 - 1 = 77 \cdot 72^2m^4, \\
36(36m^2) - 1 = 77 \cdot 4(36m^2)^2
\end{aligned}$$

which, upon the substitution  $u = 36m^2$ , becomes

$$36u - 1 = 308u^2 \quad \text{or} \quad 308u^2 - 36u + 1 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (14u - 1)(22u - 1) = 0$$

whose solutions are

$$\begin{aligned}
36m^2 = u = \frac{1}{14} \quad \text{or} \quad 36m^2 = u = \frac{1}{22}, \\
m = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{14}} \quad \text{or} \quad m = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{22}}
\end{aligned}$$

which, upon insertion into  $x = \frac{36m+1}{36m-1}$ , gives

$$x = \frac{6 + \sqrt{14}}{6 - \sqrt{14}} \quad \text{or} \quad x = \frac{6 + \sqrt{22}}{6 - \sqrt{22}}$$

**Case Two.**  $0 < x \leq 1$ .

We have

$$\frac{(1-x)\sqrt{x}}{(x+1)^2} = \frac{\sqrt{77}}{36},$$

which, in a manner as in the above,

$$\left[\frac{\sqrt{x}}{x+1}\right] / \left[\frac{x+1}{1-x}\right] = [\sqrt{77}] / [36]$$

which suggests there exists a positive real number  $m$  such that

$$\frac{\sqrt{x}}{x+1} = m\sqrt{77} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{x+1}{1-x} = 36m,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
x/(x+1)^2 = 77m^2 \quad \text{and} \quad x = \frac{36m-1}{36m+1} \quad \text{and} \quad x+1 = \frac{72m}{36m+1}, \\
\left(\frac{36m-1}{36m+1}\right) / \left(\frac{72m}{36m+1}\right)^2 = 77m^2, \\
\frac{(36m-1)(36m+1)}{(72m)^2} = 77m^2, \\
36^2m^2 - 1 = 77 \cdot 72^2m^4,
\end{aligned}$$

$$36(36m^2) - 1 = 77 \cdot 4(36m^2)^2$$

and so, as before, we get

$$m = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{14}} \quad \text{or} \quad m = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{22}}$$

which, upon insertion into  $x = \frac{36m-1}{36m+1}$ , gives

$$x = \frac{6 - \sqrt{14}}{6 + \sqrt{14}} \quad \text{or} \quad x = \frac{6 - \sqrt{22}}{6 + \sqrt{22}}.$$

The solution set of the given radical equation contains at least four different numbers and is a subset of the solution set of a 4-th degree polynomial equation that can be derived from the given equation (by squaring both sides of the equation). Since a 4-th degree polynomial equation has at most 4 (different) solutions, then we can be certain that there are no other solutions of the given equation and that the solution set of the given equation is

$$\left\{ \frac{6 + \sqrt{14}}{6 - \sqrt{14}}, \frac{6 - \sqrt{14}}{6 + \sqrt{14}}, \frac{6 + \sqrt{22}}{6 - \sqrt{22}}, \frac{6 - \sqrt{22}}{6 + \sqrt{22}} \right\}.$$

**Comments by other solvers :**

David Stone and John Hawkins of Georgia Southern University stated that this problem is a classic “where does a line intersect a hyperbola?” They went on to say that on their graphing calculator, the graphs of  $Y_1 = \frac{\sqrt{x(x-1)^2}}{(x+1)^2}$  and  $Y_2 = \frac{\sqrt{77}}{36}$  do not even appear to intersect four times until some significant zooming is done. The curve  $Y_1$  starts at the origin and rises quickly to a maximum of 0.25, which is barely above the horizontal line  $Y_2$ , then drops quickly to its  $x$ -intercept at  $x = 1$ . Then it rises again to the same maximum height of 0.25, barely creeping above  $Y_2$  once again, before descending asymptotically toward the  $x$ -axis. (The maximum points of  $Y_1$  occur at  $x = 3 \pm 2$ .)

It is amazing to find such nice solutions. The use of the quadratic formula to find  $(y, z)$  produced rational solutions only because of the numbers chosen in the problem as posed. How did the poser see all of this?

**Comment by Ken Korbin, the proposer:**

**In problem 5583**, the four radii have lengths 16, 49, 9, and 121. And  $\sin A = \frac{3696}{4225}$ .

**In problem 5601**, if the fraction to the right of the equal sign is replaced by  $\frac{\sin A}{4}$ , then, the four roots of the equation will be  $\frac{16}{49}$ ,  $\frac{49}{16}$ ,  $\frac{19}{21}$ , and  $\frac{121}{9}$ . *Note* :  $\frac{\sin A}{4} = \frac{924}{4225}$ .

**Also solved by Brian D. Beasley, Presbyterian College, Clinton, SC; Brian Bradie, Christopher Newport University, Newport News, VA; Pat Costello, Eastern Kentucky University, Richmond, KY; Pratik Donga, Junagadh, India; Eagle Problem Solvers, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA and Savannah, GA; Farid Huseynov (student; communicated by his instructor Yagub Aliyev), ADA University, Baku, Azerbaijan; Kee-Wai Lau, Hong Kong, China; David E. Manes, Oneonta, NY; Ronald Martins, Brazil; Albert Stadler, Herliberg, Switzerland; Seán M. Stewart, Bomaderry, NSW, Australia; David**

Stone and John Hawkins, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA, and the proposer.

- **5602:** Proposed by Pedro Henrique Oliveira Pantoja. University of Campina Grande, Brazil

Prove that:

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cos \frac{\pi}{7} & \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} \\ \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} & \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} & \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{7} \\ 0 & \tan \frac{\pi}{7} & 2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{7} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{8}.$$

**Solution 1 by Albert Stadler, Herrliberg, Switzerland**

Let  $x = \sin \frac{\pi}{7}$ ,  $y = \cos \frac{\pi}{7} = \sqrt{1 - x^2}$ . Then  $\sin \frac{2\pi}{7} = 2xy$ ,  $\sin \frac{3\pi}{7} = 3x - 4x^3$ ,  $\tan \frac{\pi}{7} = \frac{x}{y}$ . Let  $d$  be the value of the determinate. We expand the determinant along the first column and get

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \det \begin{vmatrix} \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} & \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{7} \\ \tan \frac{\pi}{7} & 2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{7} \end{vmatrix} - \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} \det \begin{vmatrix} \cos \frac{\pi}{7} & \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} \\ \tan \frac{\pi}{7} & 2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{7} \end{vmatrix} = \\ &= 2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{7} \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} - \tan \frac{\pi}{7} \sin^2 \frac{2\pi}{7} - \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} \left( 2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{7} \cos \frac{\pi}{7} - \tan \frac{\pi}{7} \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} \right) = \\ &= 4x^3y - \frac{x^3}{y} - (3x - 4x^3) \left( 2x^2y - \frac{3x^2 - 4x^4}{y} \right) = \frac{2x^3(4 - 12x^2 + 8x^4 - y^2 + 4x^2y^2)}{y} = \\ &= \frac{2x^3(4 - 12x^2 + 8x^4 - (1 - x^2) + 4x^2(1 - x^2))}{y} = \frac{2(x - 1)x^3(x + 1)(4x^2 - 3)}{y} = \\ &= \frac{2y^2x^2(3x - 4x^3)}{y} = \sin \frac{\pi}{7} \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} = \sqrt{\sin \frac{\pi}{7} \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} \sin \frac{4\pi}{7} \sin \frac{5\pi}{7} \sin \frac{6\pi}{7}} = \sqrt{\frac{7}{64}} \end{aligned}$$

since for all integers  $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} 2 \sin \left( \frac{k\pi}{n} \right) &= \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (-i) \left( e^{\frac{\pi ik}{n}} - e^{-\frac{\pi ik}{n}} \right) = (-i)^{n-1} e^{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\pi ik}{n}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi ik}{n}} \right) = \\ &= (-i)^{n-1} e^{\frac{\pi i(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( 1 - e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{n}} \right) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} = n, \text{ (see problem 5497, April 2018).} \end{aligned}$$



**Solution 2 by Brian Bradie, Christopher Newport University, Newport News, VA**

Subtracting  $\sin \frac{3\pi}{7}$  times the first row from the second row yields

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cos \frac{\pi}{7} & \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} \\ \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} & \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} & \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{7} \\ 0 & \tan \frac{\pi}{7} & 2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{7} \end{vmatrix} = \det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cos \frac{\pi}{7} & \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} \\ 0 & \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} - \cos \frac{\pi}{7} \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} & \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{7} - \sin^2 \frac{3\pi}{7} \\ 0 & \tan \frac{\pi}{7} & 2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{7} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} - \cos \frac{\pi}{7} \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} &= \sin \left( \frac{3\pi}{7} - \frac{\pi}{7} \right) - \cos \frac{\pi}{7} \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} \\ &= \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} \cos \frac{\pi}{7} - \cos \frac{3\pi}{7} \sin \frac{\pi}{7} - \cos \frac{\pi}{7} \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} = -\cos \frac{3\pi}{7} \sin \frac{\pi}{7} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{7} - \sin^2 \frac{3\pi}{7} &= \left( \sin \frac{\pi}{7} - \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} \right) \left( \sin \frac{\pi}{7} + \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} \right) \\ &= \left( -2 \sin \frac{\pi}{7} \cos \frac{2\pi}{7} \right) \left( 2 \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} \cos \frac{\pi}{7} \right) = -\sin \frac{2\pi}{7} \sin \frac{4\pi}{7}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cos \frac{\pi}{7} & \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} \\ \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} & \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} & \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{7} \\ 0 & \tan \frac{\pi}{7} & 2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{7} \end{vmatrix} &= -2 \sin^3 \frac{\pi}{7} \cos \frac{3\pi}{7} + \tan \frac{\pi}{7} \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} \sin \frac{4\pi}{7} \\ &= \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{7}}{\cos \frac{\pi}{7}} \left( -2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{7} \cos \frac{\pi}{7} \cos \frac{3\pi}{7} + \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} \sin \frac{4\pi}{7} \right) \\ &= \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{7} \sin \frac{2\pi}{7}}{\cos \frac{\pi}{7}} \left( -\sin \frac{\pi}{7} \cos \frac{3\pi}{7} + \sin \frac{4\pi}{7} \right) \\ &= \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{7} \sin \frac{2\pi}{7}}{\cos \frac{\pi}{7}} \left( -\sin \frac{\pi}{7} \cos \frac{3\pi}{7} + \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} \cos \frac{\pi}{7} + \cos \frac{3\pi}{7} \sin \frac{\pi}{7} \right) \\ &= \sin \frac{\pi}{7} \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} \sin \frac{3\pi}{7}. \end{aligned}$$

To show

$$\sin \frac{\pi}{7} \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{8},$$

let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer. The roots of  $z^n - 1$  are  $\omega_k = e^{2ik\pi/n}$ , for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Then

$$z^n - 1 = (z - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k = (z - 1) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (z - \omega_k),$$

or

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (z - \omega_k).$$

Substituting  $z = 1$  yields

$$n = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - \omega_k).$$

Next,

$$|1 - \omega_k| = \left| 1 - \cos \frac{2k\pi}{n} - i \sin \frac{2k\pi}{n} \right| = \sqrt{2 - 2 \cos \frac{2k\pi}{n}} = 2 \sin \frac{k\pi}{n},$$

so

$$n = |n| = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} |1 - \omega_k| = 2^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \sin \frac{k\pi}{n}.$$

Take  $n = 7$  and note

$$\sin \frac{4\pi}{7} = \sin \frac{3\pi}{7}, \quad \sin \frac{5\pi}{7} = \sin \frac{2\pi}{7}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sin \frac{6\pi}{7} = \sin \frac{\pi}{7}.$$

It follows that

$$7 = 2^6 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{7} \sin^2 \frac{2\pi}{7} \sin^2 \frac{3\pi}{7} \quad \text{or} \quad \sin \frac{\pi}{7} \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{8}.$$

*Editor's comment* : The solution submitted by **Seán M. Stewart of Bomaderry, Australia** started off by proving three identities:

1.  $C = \cos \frac{\pi}{7} - \cos \frac{2\pi}{7} + \cos \frac{3\pi}{7} = \frac{1}{2}$
2.  $S = -\sin \frac{\pi}{7} + \sin \frac{2\pi}{7} + \sin \frac{3\pi}{7} = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}$
3.  $T = \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{7} + 2 \sin \frac{3\pi}{7}}{\cos \frac{\pi}{7}} = \sqrt{7}.$

The proof of  $C$  was straight forward, in proving  $S$  he first showed that the square of the LHS equals the square of the RHS, and he then chose the positive square roots; in proving

T he showed that  $T = \frac{S}{1 - C} = \frac{\frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{7}.$

He then expanded the Determinate  $D$  down the third column and showed that  $D = \frac{T}{8} = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{8}$ . Lots of algebra, but it worked.

### **Solution 3 by Kee-Wai Lau, Hong Kong, China**

Let  $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{7}$ . The given determinate, denoted by  $D$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sin 2\alpha \sin^2 \alpha + \sin^2 3\alpha \alpha - \tan \alpha \sin^2 \alpha - 2 \sin^2 \alpha \cos \alpha \sin 3\alpha \\ &= \frac{4 \sin^3 \alpha \cos^2 \alpha + \sin^2 3\alpha \sin \alpha - \sin^3 \alpha - 2 \sin^2 \alpha \cos^2 \alpha \sin 3\alpha}{\cos \alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $k = \sin \alpha$ . By using the relations  $\cos^2 \alpha = 1 - k^2$  and  $\sin 3\alpha = 3k - 4k^3$ , we see that the numerator of  $D$  equals

$$8k^7 - 14k^5 + 6k^3 = 2k^3(1 + k)(1 - k)(3 - 4k^2).$$

Since  $0 < k < \sin \frac{\pi}{6} = \frac{1}{2}$ , so  $D > 0$ . Hence to prove that  $D = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{8}$ , it suffices to show that

$$D^2 = \frac{7}{64} \quad \text{or} \quad 64(8k^7 - 14k^5 + 6k^3)^2 - 7(1 - k^2) = 0, \quad \text{or}$$

$$(k + 1)(k - 1)(64k^5 - 48k^4 - 8k^2 - 1)(64k^6 - 112k^6 + 56k^2 - 7) = 0. \quad (1)$$

It is well known that  $\sin 7\theta = -\sin \theta(64 \sin^6 \theta - 112 \sin^4 \theta + 56 \sin^2 \theta - 7)$

for any real number  $\theta$ . Hence,  $64k^6 - 112k^4 + 56k^2 - 7 = \frac{-\sin 7\alpha}{k} = 0$ .

Thus (1) holds and this completes the solution.

*Editor's comment* : **David Stone and John Hawkins of Georgia Southern University** used a statement in their solution that was proved by P dilip k Stefan V., that  $\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{7}\right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{7}\right) \sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{7}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{8}$  (see: (<https://socratic.org/questions/how-do-you-evaluate-sin-pi-7-sin-2pi-7-sin-3pi-7>)). They concluded their solution by stating “in this problem, the surprise is that the given determinate equals  $\sin \pi 7 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{7}\right) \sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{7}\right)$ .”

**Also solved by Brian D. Beasley, Presbyterian College, Clinton, SC; Bruno Salgueiro Fanego, Viveiro, Spain; Peter Fulop, Gyomro, Hungary; Seán M. Stewart, Bomaderry, NSW, Australia; David Stone and John Hawkins, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA, and the proposer.**

- **5603:** *Proposed by Michael Brozinsky, Central Islip, NY*

In an election 50 votes were cast for candidate A and 50 for candidate B. The candidates decide to end the tie as follows; by tallying the votes at random and if A is ever in the lead by 3 votes, then Candidate A will be declared the winner. Otherwise Candidate B wins. What is the probability that A wins?

**Solution 1 by Albert Stadler, Herrliberg, Switzerland**

Consider the 2-dimensional integer lattice  $Z^2$  in the Euclidean space  $R^2$  whose lattice points are 2-tuples of integers. Every random tallying of votes can be mapped bijectively to a path from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(50, 50)$  under the rule that if starting at  $(0, 0)$  and if a vote for A is picked a step from  $(x, y)$  to  $(x + 1, y)$  is done and if a vote for B is picked a step from  $(x, y)$  to  $(x, y + 1)$  is done. In total there are  $\binom{100}{50}$  paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(50, 50)$ . By the reflection principle, the number of paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(50, 50)$  where A will be in the lead by 3 votes at some point is equal to the number of paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(47, 53)$  which equals  $\binom{100}{47}$ . Therefore the probability that A wins equals

$$\frac{\binom{100}{47}}{\binom{100}{50}} = \frac{100!50!50!}{47!53!100!} = \frac{48 \cdot 49 \cdot 50}{51 \cdot 52 \cdot 53} = \frac{9800}{11713} \approx 83.7\%.$$

**Solution 2 by Albert Natian, Los Angeles College, Valley Glen, CA**

Answer:  $\frac{48 \cdot 49 \cdot 50}{51 \cdot 52 \cdot 53} \approx 0.836677$ .

First we generalize the problem as follows:

In an election  $m$  votes are cast for candidate A and  $n$  for candidate B. The candidates agree to break the tie as follows: the votes will be tallied uniformly at random and if A is ever in the lead (for the first time) by  $L$  votes, then A is declared the winner; otherwise B wins. In this generalization, unlike the original statement of the problem, an additional advantage/disadvantage of  $i$  votes is initially accorded candidate A. That is, if, say,  $i = 1$  and  $L = 3$ , and the first two tallies are for A, then A wins. But if the first tally is for B, then A's 1-point advantage is lost (and so  $i$  becomes 0) and now A needs to lead B by 3 in order to win, and if the following tally is again for B, then now A's advantage/disadvantage  $i$  becomes  $-1$ . So  $i$  measures A's lead over B by the latest tally. It's clear that  $i$  can be negative, zero or positive. So when (if ever)  $i$  becomes  $L$ , then A wins. What is the probability that A wins?

We let  $P(m, n, i, L)$  denote the probability that A wins. It's immediate that  $P$  satisfies the following conditions

$$P(m, n, i, L) = 1 \quad \text{if } L = i \quad \text{or} \quad i = n + L - m$$

$$P(m, n, i, L) = 0 \quad \text{if } m < L - i$$

$$P(L - i, n, i, L) = \binom{L - i + n}{n}^{-1}.$$

The first tally is a vote for A with probability  $m/(m+n)$  and a vote for B with probability  $n/(m+n)$ . If the first tally is a vote for A, then there will now be  $(m-1)$  votes remaining for A and  $n$  votes for B. Also if the first tally is a vote for A, then  $i$  is incremented by 1. However, if the first tally is a vote for B, then there will now be  $(n-1)$  votes remaining for B and  $m$  votes for A. Also if the first tally is a vote for B, then  $i$  is decremented by 1. So we assert

$$P(m, n, i, L) = \frac{m}{m+n} \cdot P(m-1, n, i+1, L) + \frac{n}{m+n} \cdot P(m, n-1, i-1, L).$$

In order to solve the above recursion with the given conditions, we define  $f$  as

$$f(m, n, i, L) = \binom{m+n}{m} P(m, n, i, L).$$

So

$$P(m, n, i, L) = \binom{m+n}{m}^{-1} f(m, n, i, L).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
f(m, n, i, L) &= \binom{m+n}{m} P(m, n, i, L) = \binom{m+n}{n} P(m, n, i, L) \\
&= \binom{m+n}{m} \left( \frac{m}{m+n} \cdot P(m-1, n, i+1, L) + \frac{n}{m+n} \cdot P(m, n-1, i-1, L) \right) \\
&= \binom{m+n}{m} \frac{m}{m+n} \cdot P(m-1, n, i+1, L) + \binom{m+n}{n} \frac{n}{m+n} \cdot P(m, n-1, i-1, L) \\
&= \binom{m-1+n}{m-1} \cdot P(m-1, n, i+1, L) + \binom{m+n-1}{n-1} \cdot P(m, n-1, i-1, L) \\
&= f(m-1, n, i+1, L) + f(m, n-1, i-1, L).
\end{aligned}$$

The conditions for  $f$  are

$$\begin{aligned}
f(m, n, i, L) &= \binom{m+n}{m} \quad \text{if } L = i \quad \text{or} \quad i = n + L - m \\
f(m, n, i, L) &= 0 \quad \text{if } m < L - i \\
P(L - i, n, i, L) &= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

The Solution for the recursion

$$f(m, n, i, L) = f(m-1, n, i+1, L) + f(m, n-1, i-1, L)$$

satisfying the aforementioned conditions is

$$f(m, n, i, L) = \binom{m+n}{n+L-i} = \binom{m+n}{m-L+i}.$$

Thus

$$P(m, n, i, L) = \binom{m+n}{m}^{-1} \binom{m+n}{m-L+i} = \frac{m! n!}{(m-L+i)! (n+L-i)!}.$$

To answer the original question, we let  $m = 50$ ,  $n = 50$ ,  $i = 0$ ,  $L = 3$ . Then

$$P(50, 50, 0, 3) = \frac{50! 50!}{47! 53!} = \frac{48 \cdot 49 \cdot 50}{51 \cdot 52 \cdot 53} \approx 0.836677.$$

### Solution 3 by Moti Levy, Rehovot, Israel

The process of counting  $2N$  votes can be modeled as a random walk from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(2N, 0)$  with up-steps and down-steps of one unit each. The paths are called grand-Dyck path (or free-Dyck path).

The number of all grand-Dyck paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(2N, 0)$  is equal to  $\binom{2N}{N}$ . Clearly all paths have equal probability.

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{N}_n$  the number of “losing paths”, i.e., grand-Dyck paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(n, 0)$  that never exceed the height 2.

Then the probability that candidate A will be declared the winner is

$$P(\text{A wins}) = 1 - \frac{\mathcal{N}_{2N}}{\binom{2N}{N}}.$$

Let  $\Psi(z)$  be the generating function of the sequence of numbers of grand-Dyck paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(n, 0)$  that never exceed the height 2.

$$\Psi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_n z^n$$

The article by Panny and Prodinger [1], states that (see theorem 4.1, page 130) the generating function is

$$\Psi(z) = \frac{1+v^2}{1-v^2} (1-v^6), \quad z = \frac{v}{1+v^2},$$

or

$$\Psi(z) = 1 + 2v^2 + 2v^4 + v^6, \quad v = \frac{1}{2z} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4z^2}\right).$$

Now we find several generating functions on our way to evaluate  $\Psi(z)$ .

By definition of the binomial coefficient  $\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{n} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(-\frac{1}{2})(-\frac{3}{2})\dots(-\frac{2n-3}{2})}{n!}$ , hence

$$\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{n} = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} & n = 1, \\ (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{\binom{2n-2}{n}}{2^{2n-1}} & n > 1. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

By the binomial theorem and (1),

$$(1 - 4z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m 2^{2m} \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m} z^{2m}, \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - 4z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} &= 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m 2^{2m} \binom{\frac{3}{2}}{m} z^{2m} \\ &= 1 - 4z^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m 2^{2m} \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m} z^{2m} - 4z^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m 2^{2m} \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m} z^{2m} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$1 + 2v^2 + 2v^4 + v^6 = -\frac{1}{16z^6} \left( 8z^2 (1 - 4z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} + (40z^4 - 4z^2 + 3) \sqrt{1 - 4z^2} + 32z^2 - 24z^4 - 8 \right) \quad (4)$$

Substituting (2) and (3) in (4) and after tedious simplifications we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(z) &= 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m 2^{2m+1} \left( \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m+1} + 8 \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m+2} + 16 \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m+3} \right) z^{2m} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{2m}{m} \frac{9m^2 + 9m + 6}{m^3 + 6m^2 + 11m + 6} z^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{N}_{2N} = \binom{2N}{N} \frac{9N^2 + 9N + 6}{N^3 + 6N^2 + 11N + 6}, \quad (5)$$

and that

$$P(\text{A wins}) = 1 - \frac{9N^2 + 9N + 6}{N^3 + 6N^2 + 11N + 6}.$$

For the case  $N = 50$ , we have  $P(\text{A wins}) = 1 - \frac{9 \cdot 50^2 + 9 \cdot 50 + 6}{50^3 + 6 \cdot 50^2 + 11 \cdot 50 + 6} \cong 0.83668$ .

**Remarks:**

1) Equation (5) can be verified for small values of  $N$  by manual counting of the paths:

$N$	$\mathcal{N}_{2N}$	$\binom{2N}{N}$	$P(\text{A wins})$
1	2	2	0
2	6	6	0
3	19	20	$\frac{1}{20} = 0.05$
4	62	70	$\frac{8}{70} \cong 0.11429$

2) For large  $N$

$$P(\text{A wins}) = 1 - \frac{9}{N} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \right)$$

3) We give here the essential steps in Panny and Prodinger derivation (see [2]).

We consider grand-Dyck paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(n, 0)$ . We allow the path to touch  $-h$  and  $k$  but not  $-h - 1$  and  $k + 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}_{n,h}$  be the number of paths which do not touch  $-h - 1$  and  $k + 1$  and lead to level  $i$ . Let  $\Psi_i$  be the generating function of the sequence  $(\mathcal{N}_{n,h})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ,

$$\Psi_i = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_{n,h} z^n.$$

Looking at the last step of the paths we write the following recurrences:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{n,-h} &= \mathcal{N}_{n-1,-h} \\ \mathcal{N}_{n,-h+1} &= \mathcal{N}_{n-1,-h} + \mathcal{N}_{n-1,-h+2} \\ &\vdots \\ \mathcal{N}_{n,-1} &= \mathcal{N}_{n-1,-2} + \mathcal{N}_{n-1,0} \\ \mathcal{N}_{n,0} &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}_{n-1,-1} + \mathcal{N}_{n-1,1} & \text{if } n > 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{N}_{n,1} &= \mathcal{N}_{n-1,0} + \mathcal{N}_{n-1,2} \\ &\vdots \\ \mathcal{N}_{n,k-1} &= \mathcal{N}_{n-1,k-2} + \mathcal{N}_{n-1,k} \\ \mathcal{N}_{n,k} &= \mathcal{N}_{n-1,k} \end{aligned}$$

which implies (in terms of the generating functions)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -z & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ -z & 1 & -z & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -z & 1 & -z & \cdots & 0 \\ & \cdots & \cdots & & & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -z & 1 & -z \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -z & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{-h} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \Psi_0 \\ \vdots \\ \Psi_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

Of course, we are interested in  $\Psi_0$ .

The matrix is tridiagonal, hence its determinant  $f_n$  of  $n \times n$  matrix can be found by solving the recurrence relation (see [3])

$$f_n = f_{n-1} - z^2 f_{n-2}.$$

Setting  $z = \frac{v}{(1+v)^2}$ , for convenience, the solution is  $f_n = a \left(\frac{1}{v^2+1}\right)^2 + b \left(\frac{v^2}{v^2+1}\right)^2$ . Applying the initial conditions  $f_1 = 1$  and  $f_2 = 1 - z^2$ , we find that

$$f_n = \frac{1}{1-v^2} \frac{1-v^{2n+2}}{(v^2+1)^n}.$$

Applying Cramer's rule, to solve (6), we get

$$\Psi_0 = \frac{f_h f_k}{f_{h+k+1}} = \frac{1+v^2}{1-v^2} \left(1-v^{2k+2}\right) \frac{v^{2h+2}-1}{v^{2h+2k+4}-1}$$

Now we send  $h$  to  $-\infty$ , to get

$$\Psi_0(z) = \frac{1+v^2}{1-v^2} \left(1-v^{2k+2}\right).$$

#### References:

- [1] Wolfgang Panny, Helmut Prodinger, "The expected height of paths for several notions of height", *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica* 20 (1985), 119-132.
- [2] Helmut Prodinger, "The number of restricted lattice paths revisited", *Filomat* 26:6, 1133-1134, published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia.
- [3] Wikipedia, "Tridiagonal Matrix" entry.

#### Solution 4 by Kee-Wai Lau, Hong Kong, China

We show that  $A$  wins with probability  $\frac{9800}{11713}$ .

Suppose, in general, that  $A$  obtained  $a$  votes and  $B$  obtained  $b$  votes with  $a \geq b$ .

Denote by  $P_0(a, b)$  = the probability that there will ever be a tie in a full tallying. For  $k = 1, 2, 3$ , let  $P_k(a, b)$  be the probability that  $B$  will ever lead by  $k$  votes in a full

tallying. It is known (1), pp. 6, 37, 38, Problem 22: The ballot box that  $P_0(a, b) = \frac{2b}{a+b}$ .

We use this result to find  $P_1(a, b)$ ,  $P_2(a, b)$ , and  $P_3(a, b)$

successively. The probability that  $A$  gets the first vote equals  $\frac{a}{a+b}$  and the

probability that  $B$  gets the first vote equals  $\frac{b}{a+b}$ . Hence by conditioning on the first



vote, we obtain  $\frac{2b}{a+b} = P_0(a, b) = \frac{a}{a+b}P_1(a-1, b) + \frac{b}{a+b}$ . Thus  $P_1(a-1, b) = \frac{b}{a}$

and  $P_1(a, b) = \frac{b}{a+1}$ . By conditioning again, we have

$$\frac{b}{a+1} = P_1(a, b)P_2(a-1, b) + \frac{b}{a+b}, \text{ giving } P_2(a-1, b) = \frac{b(b-1)}{a(a+1)} \text{ and}$$

$$P_2(a, b) = \frac{b(b-1)}{(a+1)(a+2)}. \text{ Finally, we have}$$

$$\frac{b(b-1)}{(a+1)(a+2)} = P_2(a, b) = \frac{a}{a+b}P_3(a-1, b) +$$

$$\text{This gives } P_3(a-1, b) = \frac{b(b-1)(b-2)}{a(a+1)(a_2)} \text{ and } P_3(a, b) = \frac{b(b-1)(b-2)}{(a+1)(a+2)(a+3)},$$

If  $a = b$ , then  $P(A \text{ is ever in the lead by 3 votes}) = P(B \text{ is ever in the lead by 3 votes})$

$$\begin{aligned} &= P_3(a, a), \\ &= \frac{a(a-1)(a-2)}{(a+1)(a+2)(a+3)}. \end{aligned}$$

By putting  $a = 50$ , we obtain the result stated at the beginning.

Reference: 1. F. Mosteller: Fifty Challenging Problems in Probability with Solutions, Dover Publications, Inc., 1987.

**Solution 5 by the Eagle Problem Solvers, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA and Savannah, GA**

Let  $S$  be the set of all sequences of 50  $A$ 's and 50  $B$ 's, and let  $A$  be the subset of  $S$  consisting of the sequences in which there is an initial subsequence with three more  $A$ 's than  $B$ 's. We claim that there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $A$  and the set  $B$  of all sequences of 47  $A$ 's and 53  $B$ 's.

Let  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{100})$  be a sequence in  $A$ , and let  $k$  be the smallest integer for which the initial sequence  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  has exactly three more  $A$ 's than  $B$ 's. Then the initial sequence  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  has  $b$   $B$ 's and  $b+3$   $A$ 's for some integer  $b$ , where  $0 \leq b \leq 47$ , and the remaining sequence  $(x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{100})$  will have  $50-b$   $B$ 's and  $47-b$   $A$ 's. Now transform the sequence  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{100})$  by changing the  $A$ 's to  $B$ 's and the  $B$ 's to  $A$ 's in the initial  $k$  terms, and leaving the remaining  $100-k$  terms unchanged. This new sequence will have  $b+(47-b) = 47$   $A$ 's and  $(b+3)+(50-b) = 53$   $B$ 's, and thus will be a sequence in  $B$ .

In the other direction, if we begin with a sequence  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{100})$  of 47  $A$ 's and 53  $B$ 's, then let  $k$  be the smallest integer for which the initial sequence  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)$  has exactly three more  $B$ 's than  $A$ 's. Change the  $A$ 's to  $B$ 's and  $B$ 's to  $A$ 's in this initial sequence and leave the tail end of the sequence unchanged. The resulting sequence will have 50  $A$ 's and 50  $B$ 's, and the initial sequence of  $k$  terms will have exactly three more  $A$ 's than  $B$ 's.

Thus, the sets  $A$  and  $B$  have the same cardinality,  $|A| = |B| = \binom{100}{47}$  and the probability

that Candidate  $A$  will win is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|A|}{|B|} &= \frac{\binom{100}{47}}{\binom{100}{50}} \\ &= \frac{100!}{47!53!} \cdot \frac{50!50!}{100!} \\ &= \frac{50 \cdot 49 \cdot 48}{51 \cdot 52 \cdot 53} \\ &= \frac{9800}{11,713} \\ &\approx 0.836677. \end{aligned}$$

More generally, suppose  $A$  is declared the winner if  $A$  is ever in the lead by  $k$  votes, where  $k$  is a positive integer less than or equal to 50. Let  $A$  be the subset of  $S$  containing sequences in which there is an initial subsequence containing exactly  $k$  more  $A$ 's than  $B$ '. As before, there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $A$  and the set  $B$  of sequences with  $50 - k$   $A$ 's and  $50 + k$   $B$ 's. Thus, the cardinality of  $A$  is  $\binom{100}{50 - k}$  and the probability that  $A$  is declared the winner is

$$\frac{\binom{100}{50 - k}}{\binom{100}{50}} = \frac{(50!)^2}{(50 - k)!(50 + k)!} = \frac{50 \cdot 49 \cdots (51 - k)}{51 \cdot 52 \cdots (50 + k)}.$$

The value of  $k$  for which this probability comes closest to  $\frac{1}{2}$  is  $k = 6$ , for which the probability is

$$\frac{189,175}{386,529} \approx 0.48942.$$

**Also solved by the proposer.**

- **5604:** *Proposed by Albert Natian, Los Angeles Valley College, Valley Glen, CA*

**Prove:**

$$\binom{N}{r} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{-ir\mu \frac{2\pi}{n}} \left(1 + e^{i\mu \frac{2\pi}{n}}\right)^N$$

where  $N, r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i^2 = -1$ .

**Solution 1 by Albert Stadler, Herrliberg, Switzerland**

The sum on the right hand side is a Riemann sum that converges to

$$\int_0^1 e^{-2\pi irx} (1 + e^{2\pi ix})^N dx = \sum_{n=0}^N \binom{N}{n} \int_0^1 e^{-2\pi irx + 2\pi irnx} dx = \binom{N}{r},$$

as claimed. We have used the binomial theorem and the fact that for an integer  $k$

$$\int_0^1 e^{2\pi i k x} dx = \begin{cases} 1, & k = 0 \\ 0, & k \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

**Solution 2 by Brian Bradie, Christopher Newport University, Newport News, VA**

Let  $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{n}$ . By the binomial theorem,

$$(1 + e^{i\mu\theta})^N = \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} e^{i\mu k\theta},$$

so

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{-ir\mu\theta} (1 + e^{i\mu\theta})^N = \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} e^{i\mu\theta(k-r)} = \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{i\mu\theta(k-r)}.$$

Now, for  $r > N$ ,

$$\binom{N}{r} = 0,$$

and, for each  $n > r$ ,

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{i\mu\theta(k-r)} = \frac{1 - e^{in\theta(k-r)}}{1 - e^{i\theta(k-r)}} = \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i(k-r)}}{1 - e^{i\theta(k-r)}} = 0,$$

so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{-ir\mu\frac{2\pi}{n}} (1 + e^{i\mu\frac{2\pi}{n}})^N = 0 = \binom{N}{r}.$$

Finally, for  $r \leq N$  and for each  $n > N$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{i\mu\theta(k-r)} &= \binom{N}{r} \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} 1 + \sum_{k=0, k \neq r}^N \binom{N}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{i\mu\theta(k-r)} \\ &= n \binom{N}{r} + \sum_{k=0, k \neq r}^N \binom{N}{k} \frac{1 - e^{in\theta(k-r)}}{1 - e^{i\theta(k-r)}} \\ &= n \binom{N}{r}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{-ir\mu\frac{2\pi}{n}} (1 + e^{i\mu\frac{2\pi}{n}})^N = \binom{N}{r}.$$

**Solution 3 by Seán M. Stewart, Bomaderry, NSW, Australia**

Let

$$S(r, N) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{-ir\mu\frac{2\pi}{n}} (1 + e^{i\mu\frac{2\pi}{n}})^N,$$

where  $r, N \in \mathbb{N}$ . To prove the result given we also need to make the additional assumption that  $r \leq N$ . From the binomial theorem we can write the term appearing in the brackets inside the sum as

$$\left(1 + e^{i\mu \frac{2\pi}{n}}\right)^N = \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} e^{\frac{2\pi i \mu k}{n}}.$$

Thus

$$S(r, N) = \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{\mu \theta_k},$$

after the order of the summations have been interchanged. Here  $\theta_k = \frac{2\pi i}{n}(k - r)$ . As

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{\mu \theta_k} = \frac{e^{n\theta_k} - 1}{e^{\theta_k} - 1},$$

we see that

$$f_k = \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{\mu \theta_k} = \frac{e^{2\pi i(k-r)} - 1}{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}(k-r)} - 1} = 0,$$

provided  $k \neq r$  since  $e^{2\pi i(k-r)} = 1$  as  $k$  is a non-negative integer and  $r$  a positive integer. When  $k = rN$  we have

$$f_k = \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{\mu \theta_k} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} 1 = n.$$

So for  $r \leq N$  where  $r, N \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$f_k = \begin{cases} 0, & k \neq r, \\ n, & k = r. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$S(r, N) = \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} f_k = n \binom{N}{r}.$$

So for the desired limit we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{-ir\mu \frac{2\pi}{n}} \left(1 + e^{i\mu \frac{2\pi}{n}}\right)^N = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \binom{N}{r} = \binom{N}{r},$$

as required to prove.

**Solution 4 by Ulrich Abel, Technische Hochschule Mittelhessen, Germany**

Let  $N, r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Applying the binomial formula we obtain

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{-ir\mu \frac{2\pi}{n}} \left(1 + e^{i\mu \frac{2\pi}{n}}\right)^N = \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{i\mu \frac{2\pi}{n}(k-r)}.$$

If  $k = r$ , the inner sum has value equal to 1. Otherwise, the formula for the geometric sum tells us that its value is equal to

$$\frac{1 - e^{2\pi i(k-r)/n}}{1 - e^{2\pi i(k-r)/n}} = 0,$$

provided that  $n > |k - r|$ . Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{-ir\mu \frac{2\pi}{n}} \left(1 + e^{i\mu \frac{2\pi}{n}}\right)^N = \binom{N}{r}.$$

**Solution 5 by Moti Levy, Rehovot, Israel**

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{-ir\mu \frac{2\pi}{n}} \left(1 + e^{i\mu \frac{2\pi}{n}}\right)^N &= \int_0^1 e^{-ir2\pi x} \left(1 + e^{i2\pi x}\right)^N dx \\ &= \int_0^1 e^{-ir2\pi x} \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} e^{i2\pi kx} dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^N \binom{N}{k} \int_0^1 e^{-i2\pi r x} e^{i2\pi kx} dx \\ \int_0^1 e^{-i2\pi r x} e^{i2\pi kx} dx &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = r \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq r \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows.

**Solution 6 by Peter Fulop, Gyomro, Hungary**

**Prove**  $S = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} e^{-ir\mu \frac{2\pi}{n}} \left(1 + e^{i\mu \frac{2\pi}{n}}\right)^N = \binom{N}{r}$

where  $N, r \in \mathbb{N}$

Riemann sum

We know that Riemann sum gives us the following formula for a function  $f \in C^1$ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mu=0}^n f\left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx \quad (8)$$

In our case:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mu=0}^n e^{-ir\mu \frac{2\pi}{n}} \left(1 + e^{i\mu \frac{2\pi}{n}}\right)^N - \underbrace{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^N}{n}}_{\rightarrow 0} = \int_0^1 e^{-2\pi i x r} \left(1 + e^{2\pi i x}\right)^N dx \quad (9)$$

Contour integral

Applying the  $z = e^{2\pi i x}$  substitution in the integral of (2) we get the following contour integral:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{(1+z)^N}{z^{r+1}} dz \quad (10)$$

Using the Cauchy's differentiation formula and realized that  $g(z) = \frac{(1+z)^N}{z^{r+1}}$  function has  $r + 1$  poles at  $z = 0$  which are inside the unit circle  $|z| = 1$ , so we get:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{(1+z)^N}{z^{r+1}} dx = \frac{1}{r!} \frac{d^r}{dz^r} (1+z)^N \Big|_{z=0} \quad (11)$$

Performing the derivates ( $(1+z)^N$  can be differentiated  $r$  times):

$$S = \frac{1}{r!} \frac{d^r}{dz^r} (1+z)^N \Big|_{z=0} = \frac{1}{r!} \left( N(N-1)(N-2)\dots(N-r+1) \right) (1+z)^{N-r} \Big|_{z=0} \quad (12)$$

Easy to realize that  $N(N-1)(N-2)\dots(N-r+1) = \frac{N!}{(N-r)!}$

Finally substitute back to (5) we give the result:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{(1+z)^N}{z^{r+1}} dx = \binom{N}{r} \quad (13)$$

So the statement is proved.

**Also solved by Pratik Donga, Junagadh, India; Kee-Wai Lau, Hong Kong, China, and the proposer.**

- **5605:** *Proposed by José Luis Díaz-Barrero, Barcelona Tech, Barcelona, Spain*

Let  $b$  and  $c$  be distinct coprime numbers. Find the smallest positive integer  $a$  for which

$$\gcd(a^b - 1, a^c - 1) = 100.$$

**Solution 1 by Albert Stadler, Herrliberg, Switzerland**

Let  $n, t, s$  be natural numbers. We claim that

$$\gcd(n^r - 1, n^s - 1) = n^{\gcd(r,s)} - 1. \quad (*)$$

For the proof we proceed by induction on  $\max(r, s)$ . The statement is trivial for  $\max(r, s) = 1$  and  $r = s$ . Suppose the statement holds true for all  $r, s$  with  $\max(r, s) \leq m$ . Suppose that  $r = m + 1 > s$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(n^r - 1, n^s - 1) &= \gcd(n^r - 1 - (n^s - 1), n^s - 1) = \gcd(n^s(n^{r-s} - 1), n^s - 1) = \\ &= \gcd(n^{r-s} - 1, n^s - 1) = n^{\gcd(r-s,s)} - 1 = n^{\gcd(r,s)} - 1, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof (\*).

Clearly,  $b \geq 0$ ,  $c \geq 0$ . By (\*)  $100 = \gcd(a^b - 1, a^c - 1) = a^{\gcd(b,c)} - 1 = a - 1$ . Hence  $a = 101$ .

**Solution 2 by Pratik Donga, Junagadh, India**

We can use the following formula to find  $a$ :  $\gcd(n^b - 1, n^c - 1) = n^{\gcd(b,c)} - 1$ . Here we let  $n = a$  and so we obtain  $\gcd(a^b - 1, a^c - 1) = a^{\gcd(b,c)-1} = 100$ . But  $\gcd(b, c) = 1$  since  $b$  and  $c$  are coprime we have  $a - 1 = 100 \rightarrow a = 101$ .

*Editor's Comment* : Kee-Wai Lau of Hong Kong, China noted that the proof of this formula can be found in T. Andreescu, D. Andrica, and Feng, *Z. 104 Number Theory Problems, From the Training of the USA IMO Team, Birkhauser, 2007, on page 112 as part of the solution to problem 38 on page 79.*

**Solution 3 by David E. Manes, Oneonta, NY**

More generally, if  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  are positive integers, then

$$\gcd(a^b - 1, a^c - 1) = a^{\gcd(b,c)} - 1 \tag{1}$$

Therefore, if  $\gcd(b, c) = 1$ , ( $b \neq c$ ), then

$$\gcd(a^b - 1, a^c - 1) = a^{\gcd(b,c)} - 1 = a - 1 = 100$$

so that the only positive integer satisfying the given equation is  $a = 101$ .

To prove equation (1), we will use the following result: Let  $m$  be a positive integer and  $a$  and  $b$  are integers relatively prime to  $m$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  are integers such that  $a^x \equiv b^x \pmod{m}$  and  $a^y \equiv b^y \pmod{m}$ , then  $a^{\gcd(x,y)} \equiv b^{\gcd(x,y)} \pmod{m}$

Since  $\gcd(b, c)$  divides both  $b$  and  $c$ , the polynomial  $x^{\gcd(b,c)} - 1$  divides both  $x^b - 1$  and  $x^c - 1$ . Hence,  $a^{\gcd(b,c)-1}$  divides both  $a^b - 1$  and  $a^c - 1$  so that  $a^{\gcd(b,c)} - 1$  is a divisor of  $\gcd(a^b - 1, a^c - 1)$ . On the other hand, if  $m$  divides both  $a^b - 1$  and  $a^c - 1$ , then  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$  and  $a^b \equiv 1 \equiv 1^b \pmod{m}$ . By the above stated result, it follows that  $a^{\gcd(b,c)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ ; that is to say,  $m$  is a divisor of  $a^{\gcd(b,c)} - 1$ . Therefore,  $\gcd(a^b - 1, a^c - 1)$  is a divisor of  $a^{\gcd(b,c)} - 1$ . Hence,  $a^{\gcd(b,c)} - 1 = \gcd(a^b - 1, a^c - 1)$ .

**Solution 4 by David Stone and John Hawkins, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA**

We shall show that  $a = 101$ .

Note that  $a^n - 1 = (a - 1)(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2} + \dots + a + 1)$ .

For convenience, let  $P_n = \frac{a^{m+1} - 1}{a - 1} = a^m + a^{m-1} + \dots + a + 1$ . Note that  $P_n$  has  $m + 1$  terms.

Thus  $\gcd(a^b - 1, a^c - 1) = \gcd((a - 1)P_{b-1}, (a - 1)P_{c-1}) = (a - 1)\gcd(P_{b-1}, P_{c-1})$ .

In Comment 1 below, we will use the Euclidean Algorithm to show that, for coprime  $b$  and  $c$ , we have  $\gcd(P_{b-1}, P_{c-1}) = 1$ . Hence we have  $\gcd(a^b - 1, a^c - 1) = a - 1$ .

That is,  $100 = \gcd(a^b, a^c) = (a - 1) \cdot 1 = a - 1$ , so  $a = 101$ .

Comment 1: The Euclidean Algorithm process which is used to determine that  $\gcd(b, c) = 1$  can be used as a guide to show that  $\gcd(P_{b-1}, P_{c-1}) = 1$ .

We demonstrate with an illustrative example.

Let  $b = 25$  and  $c = 9$ .

Apply the Euclidean Algorithm:

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} 25 = 2 \cdot 9 + 7 \\ 9 = 1 \cdot 7 + 2 \\ 7 = 3 \cdot 2 + 1 \end{cases}$$

The final remainder is 1, verifying that  $\gcd(25, 9) = 1$ .

Now we want to demonstrate that  $\gcd(P_{24}, P_8) = 1$  by applying the Euclidean Algorithm. The first step in (1) above tells us exactly what to do as a first step here. Divide  $P_{24}$  by  $P_8$ :  $P_8$  goes 2 times with a remainder of 7. That is, the 25 terms of  $P_{24}a$  are grouped into 2 blocks of (9 terms each) and there are 7 terms left over.

$$\begin{aligned} P_{24} &= a^{24} + a^{23} + a^{22} + \dots + a + 1 = \\ &= (a^{24} + a^{23} + a^{22} + a^{21} + a^{20} + a^{19} + a^{18} + a^{17} + a^{16}) + \\ &+ (a^{15} + a^{14} + a^{13} + a^{12} + a^{11} + a^{10} + a^9 + a^8 + a^7) + \\ &+ a^6 + a^5 + a^4 + a^3 + a^2 + a + 1 = \\ &= a^{16} (a^8 + a^7 + a^6 + a^5 + a^4 + a^3 + a^2 + a + 1) + \\ &+ a^7 (a^8 + a^7 + a^6 + a^5 + a^4 + a^3 + a^2 + a + 1) + \\ &+ a^6 + a^5 + a^4 + a^3 + a^2 + a + 1 = \\ &= (a^{16} + a^7) P_8 + P_6. \end{aligned}$$

For the next step, we divide  $P_8$  by  $P_6$ . The second step of (1) above tells us exactly what happens:  $P_6$  goes 1 times with a remainder 2. That is the 9 terms of  $P_8$  are grouped into 1 block (of 7 terms) and there are 2 terms left over.

$$\begin{aligned} P_8 &= a^8 + a^7 + a^6 + a^5 + a^4 + a^3 + a^2 + a + 1 = \\ &= (a^8 + a^7 + a^6 + a^5 + a^4 + a^3 + a^2) + (a + 1) = \\ &= a^2 (+a^6 + a^5 + a^4 + a^3 + a^2 + a + 1) + (a + 1) = \\ &= a^2 P_6 + P_1. \end{aligned}$$

For the third step, we divide  $P_6$  by  $P_1$ . The third step of (1) above tells exactly what happens:  $P_1$  goes 3 times with a remainder 1. That is, the 7 terms of  $P_6$  are grouped into 3 blocks of 2 terms, and there is 1 term remaining (with must be a 1):

$$P_6 = a^6 + a^5 + a^4 + a^3 + a^2 + a + 1$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= (a^6 + a^5) + (a^4 + a^3) + (a^2 + a) + 1 \\
&= a^5(a + 1) + a^3(a + 1) + a(a + 1) + 1 \\
&= (a^5 + a^3 + a)(a + 1) + 1 \\
&= (a^5 + a^3 + a)P_1 + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing this Euclidean Algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{24} &= (a^{15} + a^7)P_8 + P_6 \\
P_8 &= a^2P_6 + P_1 \\
P_6 &= (a^5 + a^3 + a)P_1 + 1. \text{ Therefore,} \\
\gcd(P_{24}, P_8) &= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

This process can be formalized. For any coprime  $b$  and  $c$ , the Euclidean Algorithm can be employed to show that  $\gcd(P_{b-1}, P_{c-1}) = 1$ ; the process demonstrated above will work and terminate with 1, by repeatedly applying the following Lemma.

Lemma: For  $1 \leq n < m$ , when  $P_m$  is divided by  $P_n$ , the remainder is a  $P_k$ , with  $0 \leq k < n$ .

Proof: Use the Division Algorithm to divide  $m + 1$  by  $n + 1$ :

$m + 1 = q(n + 1) + r$  where  $0 \leq r \leq n + 1$ . Then it is straight forward to see that

$$P_m = Q \cdot P_n + P_{r-1}, \text{ where } Q = \sum_{j=1}^q x^{m+1-j(n+1)}.$$

Note that the operations with the  $P_n$  can be considered as with integers or as with polynomials with integer coefficients.

Comment 2: It seems surprising that the answer is independent of the values of  $b$  and  $c$ . What if  $b$  and  $c$  are not relatively prime? Suppose that  $\gcd(b, c) = d$  with  $b = dB$  and  $c = dC$  for  $B$  and  $C$  coprime.

Then

$$\gcd(a^b - 1, a^c - 1) = \gcd(a^{dB} - 1, a^{dC} - 1) = \gcd\left(\left(a^d\right)^B - 1, \left(a^d\right)^C - 1\right) = a^d - 1,$$

by applying the above result.

So if  $d = 1$ , the effect of  $b$  and  $c$  disappears.

But  $d > 1$ , asking that  $\gcd(a^b - 1, a^c - 1) = 100$  would be impossible because 101 is not a power.

**Also solved by Hatf I. Arshagi, Guilford Technical Community College, Jamestown, NC; Kee-Wai Lau, Hong Kong, China, and the proposer.**

- **5606:** Proposed by Ovidiu Furdui and Alina Şintămărian, Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Let  $a, b > 0, c \geq 0$  and  $4ab - c^2 > 0$ . Calculate

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x}{ae^x + be^{-x} + c} dx.$$

**Solution 1 by Seán M. Stewart, Bomaderry, NSW, Australia**

Denote the integral to be evaluated by  $I(a, b, c)$  where  $a, b > 0$  and  $c \geq 0$  such that  $4ab - c^2 > 0$ . We shall show that

$$I(a, b, c) = \frac{\log(b/a)}{\sqrt{4ab - c^2}} \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{4ab - c^2}}{c}\right).$$

Writing the integral as

$$I(a, b, c) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{xe^x}{ae^{2x} + ce^x + b} dx,$$

enforcing a substitution of  $x \mapsto \log(x)$  produces

$$I(a, b, c) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log(x)}{ax^2 + cx + b} dx.$$

Since  $a, b > 0$ , letting  $x = t\sqrt{\frac{b}{a}}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} I(a, b, c) &= \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log\left(t\sqrt{\frac{b}{a}}\right)}{bt^2 + ct\sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} + b} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} \log\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{bt^2 + ct\sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} + b} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log(t)}{bt^2 + ct\sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} + b} dt. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Enforcing a substitution of  $t \mapsto \frac{1}{t}$  in the second of the integrals after the equality in (1) immediately shows it has a value equal to zero. Thus

$$I(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} \log\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{bt^2 + ct\sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} + b}. \tag{2}$$

Completing the square in the denominator of the integrand given in (2) one has

$$I(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{ab}} \log\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\left(t + \frac{c}{2\sqrt{ab}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{4ab - c^2}{4ab}\right)}. \tag{3}$$

The constant term  $\frac{4ab - c^2}{4ab}$  appearing in the denominator of the integrand of (3) is positive since

$4ab - c^2 > 0$  and  $a, b > 0$ . Performing the integration, which is elementary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(a, b, c) &= \frac{\log(b/a)}{\sqrt{4ab - c^2}} \left[ \arctan \left( \frac{2t\sqrt{ab} + c}{\sqrt{4ab - c^2}} \right) \right]_0^\infty \\ &= \frac{\log(b/a)}{\sqrt{4ab - c^2}} \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \left( \frac{c}{\sqrt{4ab - c^2}} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{\log(b/a)}{\sqrt{4ab - c^2}} \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{4ab - c^2}}{c} \right), \end{aligned}$$

as announced. Note in the last line we have made use of the following well-known identity for the arctangent function of

$$\arctan(x) + \arctan\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad x > 0,$$

### Solution 2 by Ulrich Abel, Technische Hochschule Mittelhessen, Germany

We show that for  $a, b > 0$  and  $c \geq 0$

$$I := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x}{ae^x + be^{-x} + c} dx = \frac{2 \log\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) \arctan\left(\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{4ab-c}}{\sqrt{4ab+c}}}\right)}{\sqrt{4ab - c^2}}.$$

The change of variable  $x = t + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{b}{a}$  leads to

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{t + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{b}{a}}{\sqrt{ab}(e^t + e^{-t}) + c} dt.$$

Since  $\frac{t}{\sqrt{ab}(e^t + e^{-t}) + c}$  is an odd integrable function, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{ab}(e^t + e^{-t}) + c} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{ab}} \log\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\cosh t + g} dt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g = \sqrt{c^2/(4ab)} < 1$  by assumption. Since

$$\sqrt{1-g^2} \int \frac{1}{\cosh t + g} dt = 2 \arctan \left( \sqrt{\frac{1-g}{1+g}} \tanh \frac{t}{2} \right)$$

we have

$$\sqrt{1-g^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\cosh t + g} dt = 4 \arctan \left( \sqrt{\frac{1-g}{1+g}} \right),$$

which implies the desired formula.

Remark: In the particular case  $c = 0$ , we obtain  $g = 0$  and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x}{ae^x + be^{-x}} dx = \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{ab}} \log\left(\frac{b}{a}\right).$$

### Solution 3 by Kee-Wai Lau, Hong Kong, China

Denote the given Integral by  $I$ . We show that

$$I = \frac{(\ln b - \ln a) \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{c}{2\sqrt{ab}} \right)}{\sqrt{4ab - c^2}} \quad (1)$$

By the substitution  $x = \ln y$ , we obtain  $I = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln y}{y^2 + \frac{cy}{a} + \frac{b}{a}} dy$ . It is known ([1], p.537, entry 4.233(5)) that for  $k > 0$  and  $0 < t < \pi$ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\ln x}{x^2 + 2xk \cos t + k^2} dx = \frac{t \ln k}{k \sin t}.$$

By putting  $k = \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}}$  and  $t = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{c}{2\sqrt{ab}} \right)$ , we obtain (1) readily.

1. I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik. *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, Seventh Edition, Elsevier, Inc. 2007.

#### Solution 4 by Peter Fulop, Gyomro, Hungary

Let  $a, b > 0$  and  $4ab - c^2 \geq 0$ .

Calculate  $I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x}{ae^x + be^{-x} + c} dx$

Partial fraction decomposition

Let's transform the integral into two parts:

$$I = \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{ae^x + b/e^x + c} dx - \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{x}{ae^x + b/e^x + c} dx \quad (15)$$

At first applying the  $x \rightarrow -x$  substitution for the second integral of the (1) and then the substitution of  $t = \frac{1}{e^x}$  for both integrals of (1):

$$I = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(t)}{t^2 + \frac{c}{a}t + \frac{b}{a}} dt - \frac{1}{b} \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(t)}{t^2 + \frac{c}{b}t + \frac{a}{b}} dt \quad (16)$$

Find the roots of the quadratic expressions of the (2):

$$t_{1,2} = \frac{-c \pm i\sqrt{4ab - c^2}}{2a} = \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} e^{\mp i\varphi} \quad (17)$$

$$t_{3,4} = \frac{-c \pm i\sqrt{4ab - c^2}}{2b} = \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}} e^{\mp i\varphi} \quad (18)$$

where  $\varphi = \arctan\left(\frac{d}{c}\right)$ ,  $d = \sqrt{4ab - c^2}$  and  $i^2 = -1$  the (2) is becoming the following:

$$I = \frac{1}{a} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(t)}{(t-t_1)(t-t_2)} dt \right) - \frac{1}{b} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(t)}{(t-t_3)(t-t_4)} dt \right) \quad (19)$$

Taking into account that:

$$\frac{1}{(t-t_1)(t-t_2)} = \frac{a}{id} \left[ -\frac{1}{(t-t_1)} + \frac{1}{(t-t_2)} \right] \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{1}{(t-t_3)(t-t_4)} = \frac{b}{id} \left[ -\frac{1}{(t-t_3)} + \frac{1}{(t-t_4)} \right] \text{ the (5) will be the following:}$$

$$I = \frac{i}{d} \left( - \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(t)}{(t-t_1)} dt + \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(t)}{(t-t_2)} dt + \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(t)}{(t-t_3)} dt - \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(t)}{(t-t_4)} dt \right) \quad (20)$$

Spence function and its properties

Based on (6) let  $\frac{Id}{i} = -I_1 + -I_2 + I_3 - I_4$  respect to  $t_k$  roots ( $k=1,2,3,4$ ). Let's pull out  $-t_k$  from all denominators and performing the substitutions  $x = \frac{t}{t_k}$ .

$$I_k = \int_0^{\frac{1}{t_k}} \frac{\ln(x) + \ln(t_k)}{(1-x)} dx = \int_0^{\frac{1}{t_k}} \frac{\ln(x)}{1-x} + \frac{\ln(t_k)}{(1-x)} dx \quad (21)$$

$$I_k = \int_0^{\frac{1}{t_k}} \frac{\ln(x)}{1-x} dx + \ln\left(\frac{1}{t_k}\right) \ln\left(1 - \frac{1}{t_k}\right) \quad (22)$$

Introducing further substitution ( $r = 1 - x$ ) regarding the integral of (8) we get:

$$I_k = \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(1-r)}{r} dr - \int_0^{1-\frac{1}{t_k}} \frac{\ln(1-r)}{r} dx + \ln\left(\frac{1}{t_k}\right) \ln\left(1 - \frac{1}{t_k}\right) \quad (23)$$

Using the definition of the Spence function (Dilogarithm function) we have:

$$I_k = -Li_2(1) + Li_2\left(1 - \frac{1}{t_k}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{t_k}\right) \ln\left(1 - \frac{1}{t_k}\right) \quad (24)$$

Applying the following identity of the Spence function:

$Li_2(z) + Li_2(1-z) = Li_2(1) - \ln(z) \ln(1-z)$ , (10) will be the following:

$$I_k = -Li_2\left(\frac{1}{t_k}\right) \quad (25)$$

Based on (3),(4) can be seen that  $t_4 = \frac{1}{t_1}$  and  $t_3 = \frac{1}{t_2}$ , go back to (6) we get value of the integral (I):

$$I = Li_2\left(\frac{1}{t_1}\right) - Li_2\left(\frac{1}{t_2}\right) - Li_2(t_2) + Li_2(t_1) \quad (26)$$

Using the following identity  $Li_2(z) + Li_2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = -Li_2(1) - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2(-z)$  twice we get:

$$I = \frac{i}{2d} \ln\left(\frac{t_2}{t_1}\right) \ln(t_1 t_2) \quad (27)$$

Finally substitute back  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  from (3) we get the result:

$$I = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)}{\sqrt{4ab-c^2}} \arctan\left(\sqrt{\frac{4ab}{c}} - 1\right) \quad (28)$$

**Also solved by Albert Stadler, Herliberg, Switzerland, and the proposers.**